APPRAISAL OF REAL PROPERTY



LOCATED AT

1039 Lakeland Cir Rosharon, TX 77583 The Oaks At Suncreek Estates (a0067 & A0068 & A0069) Blk 3 Lot 16 Acres 1.

FOR

Janus Appraisal Manager

OPINION OF VALUE

435,000

AS OF

05/04/2018

BY

Robert Dieudonne Home Team Appraisals 3111 Edgewood Dr Pearland, TX 77584 (832) 637-7705 Robert@hometeamappraisals.com http://www.hometeamappraisals.com

1660 Serial# 2A6AB5A8 esign.alamode.com/verify

Form GA2V_LT - "TOTAL" appraisal software by a la mode, inc. - 1-800-ALAMODE

Home Team Appraisals

		Uni	form Re	sidential	Appraisal	Report	F	18040 ile# 77458	5029	
The purpose of this sum	nmary appraisal repo	rt is to prov	ide the lender/cli	ent with an ac	curate, and adequate	ely supported, op	inion of the	market value	of the subject	property.
Property Address 1039	Eakeland Cir				City Rosharon		S	tate TX	Zip Code 7758	33
Borrower Freeman SI			Owner o	of Public Record	Nathaniel Free			ounty Brazo		
Legal Description The		ek Estates					-	J DIGEC		
Assessor's Parcel # 7				<u></u>	Tax Year 2017	00 1.	R	.E. Taxes \$ 3	98	
Naighborhood Namo T		rook Estate	20			26420		ensus Tract 6		
Occupant Owner	Tenant X Vaca			Assessments \$	0	<u>20420</u> N Pl				per month
Property Rights Appraised		Leaseho		describe) X Other (de	-	ction Loan		451 🔼		
	wood Mortgage		Addr		Dallas Parkway 3		/ 75007			
Is the subject property curr		r has it heen o						,	Yes 🗙 No	
Report data source(s) usec	l, offering price(s), and	l date(s).	HARMLS							
performed.	alyze the contract for	sale for the su	oject purchase tra	nsaction. Explain	the results of the analy	ysis of the contract	t for sale or wr	ly the analysis	was not	
Contract Price \$ Is there any financial assist If Yes, report the total dolla		ale concession	s, gift or downpay		e owner of public reco etc.) to be paid by an			a Source(s) ?	Yes	□ No
Note: Race and the racia	· ·	neighborhoo	d are not apprais							
	ood Characteristics				lousing Trends			t Housing	Present Lan	
Location 🗌 Urban	Suburban	Rural	Property Values	Increasing	X Stable	Declining	PRICE	AGE	One-Unit	90 %
Built-Up 🗙 Over 75%	25-75%		Demand/Supply	Shortage	In Balance	Over Supply	\$ (000)	(yrs)	2-4 Unit	%
Growth 🗌 Rapid	X Stable	Slow	Marketing Time	🗙 Under 3 mt	ns 🗌 3-6 mths	Over 6 mths	75 L	ow O	Multi-Family	%
Neighborhood Boundaries	The subject's	s market ar	ea is located	north of 35, e	ast of Cow Cree	k, south of	568 H	igh 30	Commercial	10 %
Hwy 6, and west of							335 Pr	ed. 15	Other	%
Growth Rapid Neighborhood Boundaries Hwy 6, and west of 2 Neighborhood Description worship, are all near					ng distance. Sch I similar to others			facilities, ar	nd places of	
Market Conditions (includir	•				e market in the n					
with an adequate su							area with	some FHA,	VA, and Cas	h
transactions. Availal		is good. Ra						10		
Dimensions Survey No				1.00 ac		pe Rectangula	ar	View N;	Res;Res	
Specific Zoning Classificati				g Description N						
Zoning Compliance	Legal 📃 Legal Non	conforming (G	randfathered Use)	🗙 No Zonin	g 🗌 Illegal (descril					
Is the highest and best use	of subject property as	improved (or	as proposed per p	lans and specific	ations) the present us	e? 🗙	Yes 🗌 I	No If No, des	cribe	
	ther (describe)			ıblic Other (de	scribe)		ovements - Ty	ре		Private
Electricity X Gas X				\mathbf{X}		Street Asp	halt		X	
			anitary Sewer	\mathbf{X}		Alley Non	e			
FEMA Special Flood Hazard				X		039C0275H		FEMA Map	Date 06/05/1	989
Are the utilities and off-site					o If No, describe					
Are there any adverse site	conditions or external	factors (easem	ents, encroachme	nts, environmenta	al conditions, land use	es, etc.)?	Ye	is 🗙 No	If Yes, describe	
Conorrol Doo			F aundation			u unataria		Interior		/
General Des	-		Foundation		Exterior Description		ls/condition			/condition
	vith Accessory Unit	Concrete		wl Space	Foundation Walls	Concrete/A		Floors	Carpet/Tile/	
# of Stories 2		Full Base		tial Basement	Exterior Walls	Brick/Stuc/		Walls	Sheetrock/A	
Type 🗙 Det. 🗌 Att.		Basement Are			Roof Surface	Compositio		Trim/Finish	Softwood/A	vg
Existing Propose		Basement Fini			Gutters & Downspout			Bath Floor	Tile/Avg	
	ditional	Outside E		Sump Pump	Window Type	Alum Insula		Bath Wainscot		
Year Built 201	8	Evidence of	Infestation		Storm Sash/Insulated		vg	Car Storage	None	
Effective Age (Yrs) 0		Dampnes			Screens	Yes/Avg		🗙 Driveway	# of Cars	3
Attic	None	Heating 🗙			Amenities		()	Driveway Surf		ock
🗙 Drop Stair	Stairs	Other	Fuel		Fireplace(s) #	0 🗙 Fence 🗤	Nood	🗙 Garage	# of Cars	3
Floor	Scuttle	Cooling 🗙	Central Air Cond		X Patio/Deck Cn			Carport	# of Cars	0
Finished	Heated	Individual		her	Pool None	Other N		X Att.	Det.	Built-in
Appliances 🔲 Refrigerat		Dishwa					(describe)			
Appliances Refrigerat Finished area above grade Additional features (special	contains:	10 Rooms , etc.). I	<u> </u>	Bedrooms	4.1 Bath(s)		,	et of Gross Liv	ing Area Above G	rade
Describe the condition of th					- /				ears;C1 The s	subject
is a new constructio	n that is currently	unfinished	I. The report	is being done	e subject to the c	completion per	plans and	specs. T		
Are there any physical defi	ciencies or adverse co	nditions that a	fect the livability,	soundness, or str	uctural integrity of the	property?	[Yes 🗙 N	lo If Yes, descri	be
Does the property generally	conform to the paich	horbood (fund	ional utility, at da	condition use of	onstruction ato \2	X	Yes 🗌 No	If No, describ	٥	
Does are property generally		טוווטטע (ועווכ	ionai utility, Style,	conuluon, use, C	ບກວແບບແບກ, ປີເບີ.) !			II NU, UESCIID	u	

Freddie Mac Form 70 March 2005

UAD Version 9/2011 Page 1 of 6

Serial# 2A6AB5A8 esign.alamode.com/verify

Form 1004UAD - "TOTAL" appraisal software by a la mode, inc. - 1-800-ALAMODE

Uniform Residential Appraisal Report

180405029

Tł			a subta a			1 4		ما ما من الم من ما م	مبر امم مما				File #	4.0		
												from \$ 149,800 ice from \$ 75,00	•	to		<u>50,000</u> .
	nere are 73 comparable FEATURE		s in th SUBJE		neignu			LE SALE # 1	ontris rar			LE SALE # 2	0	00		568,000 LE SALE # 3
Address 1039 Lakeland Cir 17102 I				61	4740				704				011			LE SALE # 3
A					-					9 Notta	-				1 1462	
	Rosharon, TX 77	<u>583</u>					TX 7	7583		haron,		7583			<u>, TX 77</u>	/583
	roximity to Subject				2.15	miles	NW	•		miles	E			miles	s NW	
	ale Price	\$			•			\$ 464,9	0			\$ 399,99				\$ 469,00
	ale Price/Gross Liv. Area	\$		sq.ft.			9 sq.ft.		\$	132.2					34 sq.ft.	
	ata Source(s)				HAR	MLS#	43319	9671;DOM 49			97145	5445;DOM 272	HAR	MLS#	#19310	459;DOM 82
	erification Source(s)					ection		1		ection		1		ection		1
	ALUE ADJUSTMENTS	D	ESCRIP	TION	DE	SCRIP	TION	+ (-) \$ Adjustmer		ESCRIPT	ION	+ (-) \$ Adjustment		SCRIP	TION	+ (-) \$ Adjustment
	ales or Financing				ArmL	th			Arm	Lth			Arml	Lth		
_	oncessions				Conv	/;0			Con	v;0			Cash	n;0		
	ate of Sale/Time				s09/1	17;c08	8/17		s08/	17;c07	/17		s10/	17;c1	0/17	
	ocation	N;Re	es;Re	S	N;Re	s;Res	S		N;R	es;Res			N;Re	es;Re	S	
Le	easehold/Fee Simple	Fee	Simp	le	Fee \$	Simpl	е		Fee	Simple	9		Fee	Simpl	le	
Si	ite	1.00	ac		2.00	ac		-15,0	0 1.77	ac		-11,55	5.00	ac		-60,00
Vi	ew	N;Re	es;Re	S	N;Re	s;Res	s		N;R	es;Res			N;Re	es;Re	s	
D	esign (Style)	DT2	;Trad	itional	DT2;	Tradi	tional		DT1	;Tradit	ional		DT2	;Tradi	itional	
Q	uality of Construction	Q3			Q3				Q3				Q3			
	ctual Age	0			12			+12,0	0 2				0 14			+14,00
C	ondition	C1			C3				0 C1				C3			+2,00
A	bove Grade	Total	Bdrms	s. Baths	Total	Bdrms	. Baths		Total	Bdrms.	Baths		Total	Bdrms	s. Baths	
R	oom Count	10	4	4.1	10	4	4.0	+1,5	0 10	4	3.0	+3,00	0 10	4	3.1	+3,00
G	ross Living Area		4,07	79 sq.ft.		4,33	3 sq.ft.			3,024	sq.ft.	+42,20	0	3,72	27 sq.ft.	+14,08
Ba	asement & Finished	0sf			0sf				0sf				0sf			
R	ooms Below Grade						_			_						
	unctional Utility	Турі	cal		Туріс	al			Турі	cal			Турі	cal		
	eating/Cooling		tral H	VAC		ral H\	/AC			tral HV	'AC			tral H	VAC	
	nergy Efficient Items	Турі			Туріс				Турі				Typi			
ď G	arage/Carport	3ga3			3ga3				2ga			+2.00	0 3ga3			
₹ Po	orch/Patio/Deck	Porc	ch/Pat	tio		h/Pati	io			h/Patio	c			:h/Pat	io	
ΘP	ool Description	Non			None				Non				Pool			-10,00
8R #	of Fireplaces	Non	е		None	;			1 Fi	replace	;	-1,00	0 1 Fir		e	-1,00
d 0	ther	Non	е		None	9			Non				None	e		
NO N	et Adjustment (Total)						Χ-	\$ -9,6	50 >	() + (-	\$ 34,65	0] +	Χ-	\$ -37,92
S A	djusted Sale Price				Net Ad		2.1 %	/	Net A		8.7 %		Net Ad		8.1 %	
H of	Comparables				Gross	Adj.	8.7 %		0 Gross	Adj.	14.9 %		Gross	Adj.	22.2 %	\$ 431,08
S I	🗙 did 🗌 did not research t	he sale	e or trar	nsfer histo	bry of th	ie subje	ect prope					,				,
Da M Da	My research did X did not reveal any prior sales or transfers of the subject property for the three years prior to the effective date of this appraisal. Data Source(s) HARMLS, Tax Records My research did X did not reveal any prior sales or transfers of the comparable sales for the year prior to the date of sale of the comparable sale. Data Source(s) HARMLS, Tax Records Data Source(s) HARMLS, Tax Re															
R	Report the results of the research and analysis of the prior sale or transfer history of the subject property and comparable sales (report additional prior sales on page 3).										n page					
	ITEM		alysis o		JBJECT	rtranste		y of the subject prop COMPARABLE				(report additional prio COMPARABLE SALE #		n page		RABLE SALE #3
Da	ITEM ate of Prior Sale/Transfer		alysis o			rtranste								n page		RABLE SALE #3
Da Pi	ITEM ate of Prior Sale/Transfer rice of Prior Sale/Transfer			SI				COMPARABLI			(COMPARABLE SALE #			COMPA	RABLE SALE #3
Di Pi Di	ITEM ate of Prior Sale/Transfer rice of Prior Sale/Transfer ata Source(s)		HAR	SI				COMPARABLE		1	(HARN	COMPARABLE SALE #		HAF	COMPA	
Di Pr Di Ef	ITEM ate of Prior Sale/Transfer rice of Prior Sale/Transfer ata Source(s) ffective Date of Data Source(s)		HARN 05/04	SI MLS /2018	JBJECT			COMPARABLI HARMLS 05/04/2018	SALE #	1	(HARM 05/04	COMPARABLE SALE # MLS /2018	£2	HAF 05/0	COMPA RMLS 04/2018	3
D: Pr D: Ef	ITEM ate of Prior Sale/Transfer rice of Prior Sale/Transfer ata Source(s) ffective Date of Data Source(s) nalysis of prior sale or transfer his	story o	HARN 05/04 of the su	SI MLS /2018 Jbject pro	JBJECT	nd com	parable	COMPARABLI HARMLS 05/04/2018 sales A	SALE #	1	(HARM 05/04	COMPARABLE SALE #	£2	HAF 05/0	COMPA RMLS 04/2018	3
Di Pr Di Ef Ar Y	ITEM ate of Prior Sale/Transfer rice of Prior Sale/Transfer ata Source(s) ffective Date of Data Source(s)	story o com	HARI 05/04 of the su ps oc	SI MLS /2018 Jbject pro curred All cor	JBJECT perty ar in the	id com last ^	parable : 12 mo	COMPARABLI HARMLS 05/04/2018 sales A nths.	SALE #	1 n of HA	(HARM 05/04 RMLS	COMPARABLE SALE # MLS /2018	ed no s	HAF 05/0 ales a	COMPA RMLS 04/2018 activity	3 in the last 3
Di Pr Di Ef Ar Y	ITEM ate of Prior Sale/Transfer rice of Prior Sale/Transfer ata Source(s) fective Date of Data Source(s) nalysis of prior sale or transfer his ears. No prior sale of the	story o com	HARI 05/04 of the su ps oc	SI MLS /2018 Jbject pro curred All cor	JBJECT perty ar in the	id com last ^	parable : 12 mo	COMPARABLI HARMLS 05/04/2018 sales A nths.	SALE #	1 n of HA	(HARM 05/04 RMLS	COMPARABLE SALE # MLS /2018 S records reveale	ed no s	HAF 05/0 ales a	COMPA RMLS 04/2018 activity	3 in the last 3
Di Pr Di Eff Ar Yu Si fi	ITEM ate of Prior Sale/Transfer rice of Prior Sale/Transfer ata Source(s) fective Date of Data Source(s) nalysis of prior sale or transfer his ears. No prior sale of the ummary of Sales Comparison Ap nal reconciliation. See the dicated Value by Sales Comparis	story o com proach e atta	HARI 05/04 of the su ps oc n ached	SI /2018 /bject pro curred All cor adden	JBJECT perty ar in the	Id com Iast ^ bles v	parable : 12 mon vere o liscus:	COMPARABLI HARMLS 05/04/2018 sales A nths. f similar age, co sion of the adjus	SALE #	1 n of HA and q made.	HARM 05/04 RMLS	COMPARABLE SALE #	t2 td no s	HAF 05/0 ales a	COMPA RMLS 04/2018 activity	3 in the last 3 weight in the
D: Pr Ef Ar YY Si Si fii	ITEM ate of Prior Sale/Transfer rice of Prior Sale/Transfer ata Source(s) fective Date of Data Source(s) nalysis of prior sale or transfer his ears. No prior sale of the ummary of Sales Comparison Ap nal reconciliation. See the dicated Value by Sales Comparis dicated Value by Sales Comparis	story c com proacht e atta on App	HARI 05/04 of the su ps oc ached	SI MLS /2018 Jbject pro curred All cor adden adden \$ 4 wach \$	perty ar in the nparal dum f	ld com last ^ bles v for a c	parable : 12 moi	COMPARABLI HARMLS 05/04/2018 sales A nths. f similar age, co sion of the adjus	SALE # search	1 n of HA . and q made.	(HARN 05/04 RMLS uality	COMPARABLE SALE # MLS /2018 S records reveale of construction of construction	t2 td no s All sale	HAF 05/0 ales a es rec	COMPA RMLS 04/2018 activity	3 in the last 3 weight in the
Di Pr Ef Ar Yr Sti fi	ITEM ate of Prior Sale/Transfer rice of Prior Sale/Transfer ata Source(s) fective Date of Data Source(s) nalysis of prior sale or transfer his ears. No prior sale of the ummary of Sales Comparison Ap nal reconciliation. See the dicated Value by Sales Comparis rdicated Value by Sales Comparis rdicated Value by Sales Comparis	story com com proach e atta on App arison	HARI 05/04 of the su ps oc ached	All cor adden adden adach ack \$ irect sa	JBJECT perty ar in the nparal dum f 35,000 438 iles cc	bles v bles v or a c 0 5,000	parable : 12 mol vere o discuss	COMPARABLI HARMLS 05/04/2018 sales A nths. f similar age, co sion of the adjus Cost Approach (if d	SALE # search ndition. tments evelopec was clo	1 n of HA made made	HARN 05/04 RMLS uality 435,93	COMPARABLE SALE # MLS /2018 S records reveale of construction of construction	t2 td no s All sale	HAF 05/0 ales a es rec	COMPA RMLS 04/2018 activity	3 in the last 3 weight in the
Di Pr Ef Ar Yr Sti fi	ITEM ate of Prior Sale/Transfer rice of Prior Sale/Transfer ata Source(s) fective Date of Data Source(s) nalysis of prior sale or transfer his ears. No prior sale of the ummary of Sales Comparison Ap nal reconciliation. See the dicated Value by Sales Comparis dicated Value by Sales Comparis	story com com proach e atta on App arison	HARI 05/04 of the su ps oc ached	All cor adden adden adach ack \$ irect sa	JBJECT perty ar in the nparal dum f 35,000 438 iles cc	bles v bles v or a c 0 5,000	parable : 12 mol vere o discuss	COMPARABLI HARMLS 05/04/2018 sales A nths. f similar age, co sion of the adjus Cost Approach (if d	SALE # search ndition. tments evelopec was clo	1 n of HA made made	HARN 05/04 RMLS uality 435,93	COMPARABLE SALE # MLS /2018 S records reveale of construction of construction	t2 td no s All sale	HAF 05/0 ales a es rec	COMPA RMLS 04/2018 activity	3 in the last 3 weight in the
Di Pr Ef Ar Yr Sti fi	ITEM ate of Prior Sale/Transfer rice of Prior Sale/Transfer ata Source(s) ffective Date of Data Source(s) nalysis of prior sale or transfer hi ears. No prior sale of the ummary of Sales Comparison Ap nal reconciliation. See the dicated Value by Sales Comparis dicated Value by Sales Comparis dicated Value by Sales Comparis nor emphasis was place ras not used as the subjec his appraisal is made [] "as i pmpleted,]] subject to the llowing required inspection bas	story c com proach e atta on App arison act is s", follow ed on	HARI 05/04 f the su ps occ a ached ached broached in a p the d in a p su ing rep 1 the e	SI MLS //2018 //201	perty ar in the nparal dum f 35,000 43! iles co nately comple alteration ary assi	bles v bles v or a c 0 5,000 pmpar v own etion p ns on umptior	parable s 12 mon vere o discuss rison a er occ er plans the bas n that t	COMPARABLE HARMLS 05/04/2018 sales A nths. f similar age, co sion of the adjus cost Approach (if d upproach, and it upied area, not s and specifications sis of a hypothetica he condition or defi	SALE # search ndition tments eveloped was clo sought on the conditic ciency d	1 n of HA and q made. 1) \$ 2 osely s by inver- basis o n that t pes not	HARM 05/04 RMLS uality uality 435,93 uppor estors f a hyphe repa require	COMPARABLE SALE # MLS /2018 S records reveale of construction of construction alteration tairs or alterations ha alteration or repair:	ed no s ed no s All sale proach pproach pproac	HAF 05/0 alles a es rec (if deve ch. Th improv	COMPA RMLS 04/2018 activity eeived v eeived v eeived v	in the last 3 in the last 3 weight in the me approach have been
Efection File Signal defined Signal define	ITEM ate of Prior Sale/Transfer rice of Prior Sale/Transfer ata Source(s) fective Date of Data Source(s) nalysis of prior sale or transfer hi ears. No prior sale of the ummary of Sales Comparison Ap nal reconciliation. See the dicated Value by Sales Comparis ridicated Value by: Sales Comparis ridicated Value by: Sales Comparis ridicated Value by: Sales Comparis nore emphasis was place ras not used as the subjec his appraisal is made [] "as i pompleted, [] subject to the	story c com proach e atta on App arison ad on ect is s", follow sed on blete. inspe ertific	HARI 05/04 of the su ps occ ached ached in a p the d in a p the e the e the e the e the e the e the e	SI MLS /2018 /2018 bject pro curred All cor adden All cor adden \$ 4 yeach \$ irect sa redomi ubject to airs or a xtraordina report i	perty ar in the nparal dum f dum f 35,000 438 iles co nately comple alteration ary assi s bein nterior) opin	bles v or a c bles v or a c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c	rison a er occ er plans the bas n that th ne sub exterior the m	COMPARABLE HARMLS 05/04/2018 sales A nths. f similar age, co sion of the adjus cost Approach (if d upproach, and it upied area, not s and specifications is of a hypothetica he condition or defi ject to completic areas of the su market value, as co the date of inspecifications	SALE # search ndition, tments evelopec was clo sought on the conditio ciency do in usiny ject pro efined, ction ar	1 n of HA and q made. 1) \$ 2 2 2 2 2 3 2 3 2 2 3 2 2 3 2 3 2 3 2 3 2 3 3 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	HARM 05/04 RMLS uality uality 435,93 uppor estors f a hyp he repa require s and s defined real pr	COMPARABLE SALE # MLS /2018 5 records reveale of construction of construction 30 Income App ted by the cost a pothetical condition t alteration or repair: specs.	All sale	(if deve ch. Th improv compl ubjec: t of th	COMPA RMLS 04/2018 activity eeived w eeived w eeived w eeived w eeived w eeived w eeived w eeived w	in the last 3 in the last 3 veight in the me approach have been been bauter b

Serial# 2A6AB5A8 esign.alamode.com/verify

Uniform Residential Appraisal Report

	Addenda to Scope of Work:	
	I have not checked the land records for recorded easements and have reported only apparent easements, encroachments, and other apparent	
	adverse conditions.	
	Owner of record on page one of the URAR was confirmed through available public records which include county records and the Stewart Title	
	report.	
	The subject property is served by police and paid or volunteer fire protection.	
	If the subject was destroyed it could be rebuilt as a single family home.	
	I have not performed any services, as an appraiser or in any other capacity, regarding the property that is the subject of this report within the	
	three-year period immediately preceding acceptance of this assignment.	
\$		
ĽΝ		
= M		
МО		
ŭ		
١AI		
<u>0</u>		
D		
ADDITIONAL COMMENTS		
		_
	COST APPROACH TO VALUE (not required by Fannie Mae)	
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculations.	
		d.
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculations.	d.
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculations.	d.
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) Site value was determined by extraction method	d.
CH	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) Site value was determined by extraction method ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW OPINION OF SITE VALUE =\$ 17,0	
OACH	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) ESTIMATED □ REPRODUCTION OR	000
PROACH	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) ESTIMATED □ REPRODUCTION OR	000 052
r Approach	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) Site value was determined by extraction methods ESTIMATED □ REPRODUCTION OR	000 052 263
DST APPROACH	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) Site value was determined by extraction methods ESTIMATED □ REPRODUCTION OR	000 052 263
COST APPROACH	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) Site value was determined by extraction method ESTIMATED □ REPRODUCTION OR	000 052 263 487
COST APPROACH	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW OPINION OF SITE VALUE ESTIMATED OF COST data Marshal and Swift DWELLING 4,079 Sq.Ft. @\$ 96.36 =\$ 393,0 Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 01/2017 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Marshall & Swift Residential Cost manual was used to estimate the Garage/Carport 754 Sq.Ft. @\$ 20.54 =\$ 15,4 replacement cost. Site value was determined by extraction method. ESTIMATED Cost New Esternal External Esternal Esternal Esternal Esterna	000 052 263 487
COST APPROACH	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) Site value was determined by extraction method ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW OPINION OF SITE VALUE =\$ 17,0 Source of cost data Marshal and Swift DWELLING 4,079 Sq.Ft. @\$ 96.36 =\$ 393,0 Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 01/2017 0 Sq.Ft. @\$ 96.36 =\$ 5,2 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) FP,Prch,Pt,Etc =\$ 5,2 Marshall & Swift Residential Cost manual was used to estimate the replacement cost. Site value was determined by extraction method. Total Estimate of Cost-New =\$ 413,8 Less Physical Functional External See sketch attached for building area square footage calculations. Depreciation =\$(000 052 263 487
COST APPROACH	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) Site value was determined by extraction method ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW OPINION OF SITE VALUE =\$ 17,0 Source of cost data Marshal and Swift DWELLING 4,079 Sq.Ft. @\$ 96.36 =\$ 393,0 Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 01/2017 O Sq.Ft. @\$ 96.36 =\$ 5,2 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) FP.Prch,Pt,Etc =\$ 5,2 Marshall & Swift Residential Cost manual was used to estimate the Garage/Carport 754 Sq.Ft. @\$ 20.54 =\$ 15,4 replacement cost. Site value was determined by extraction method. Total Estimate of Cost-New =\$ 413,8 413,8 See sketch attached for building area square footage calculations. Depreciation =\$ (Physical depreciation determined by age life method. Depreciated Cost of Improvements =\$ 413,8 =\$ 413,8	000 052 263 487 802)
COST APPROACH	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) Site value was determined by extraction method ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW OPINION OF SITE VALUE =\$ 17,0 Source of cost data Marshal and Swift DWELLING 4,079 Sq.Ft. @\$ 96.36 =\$ 393,0 Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 01/2017 O Sq.Ft. @\$ 96.36 =\$ 5,2 Marshall & Swift Residential Cost manual was used to estimate the Garage/Carport 754 Sq.Ft. @\$ 20.54 =\$ 15,4 replacement cost. Site value was determined by extraction method. Total Estimate of Cost-New =\$ 413,8 Less Physical Functional External See sketch attached for building area square footage calculations. Depreciation =\$ (12,012,012,012,012,012,012,012,012,012,0	000 052 263 487 802)
COST APPROACH	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) Site value was determined by extraction method ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW OPINION OF SITE VALUE =\$ 17,0 Source of cost data Marshal and Swift DWELLING 4,079 Sq.Ft. @\$ 96.36 =\$ 393,0 Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 01/2017 O Sq.Ft. @\$ 96.36 =\$ 5,2 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) FP,Prch,Pt,Etc =\$ 5,2 Marshall & Swift Residential Cost manual was used to estimate the Garage/Carport 754 Sq.Ft. @\$ 20.54 =\$ 15,4 replacement cost. Site value was determined by extraction method. Total Estimate of Cost-New =\$ 413,8 Less Physical Functional External See sketch attached for building area square footage calculations. Depreciation =\$ 413,8 =\$ 413,8 "As-is" Value of Site Improvements =\$ 5,1 =\$ 5,1 =\$ 5,1	000 052 263 487 802) 802 128
COST APPROACH	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) Site value was determined by extraction method ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW OPINION OF SITE VALUE =\$ 17,0 Source of cost data Marshal and Swift DWELLING 4,079 Sq.Ft. @\$ 96.36 =\$ 393,0 Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 01/2017 O Sq.Ft. @\$ =\$ 5,2 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) FP,Prch,Pt,Etc =\$ 5,2 Marshall & Swift Residential Cost manual was used to estimate the Garage/Carport 754 Sq.Ft. @\$ 20.54 =\$ 15,4 replacement cost. Site value was determined by extraction method. Total Estimate of Cost-New =\$ 413,8 See sketch attached for building area square footage calculations. Depreciated Cost of Improvements =\$ 413,8 "As-is" Value of Site Improvements =\$ 5,1 Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 60 Years INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH =\$ 435,9	000 052 263 487 802) 802 128
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) Site value was determined by extraction method ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW OPINION OF SITE VALUE =\$ 17,0 Source of cost data Marshal and Swift DWELLING 4,079 Sq.Ft. @\$ 96.36 =\$ 393,0 Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 01/2017 O Sq.Ft. @\$ 96.36 =\$ 5,2 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) FP,Prch,Pt,Etc =\$ 5,2 Marshall & Swift Residential Cost manual was used to estimate the Garage/Carport 754 Sq.Ft. @\$ 20.54 =\$ 15,4 replacement cost. Site value was determined by extraction method. Total Estimate of Cost-New =\$ 413,8 Less Physical Functional External See sketch attached for building area square footage calculations. Depreciation =\$ 413,8 =\$ 413,8 "As-is" Value of Site Improvements =\$ 5,1 =\$ 5,1 =\$ 5,1	000 052 263 487 802) 802 128
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) Site value was determined by extraction method ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW OPINION OF SITE VALUE =\$ 17,0 Source of cost data Marshal and Swift DWELLING 4,079 Sq.Ft. @\$ 96.36 =\$ 393,0 Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 01/2017 O Sq.Ft. @\$ =\$ 5,2 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) FP,Prch,Pt,Etc =\$ 5,2 Marshall & Swift Residential Cost manual was used to estimate the Garage/Carport 754 Sq.Ft. @\$ 20.54 =\$ 15,4 replacement cost. Site value was determined by extraction method. Total Estimate of Cost-New =\$ 413,8 See sketch attached for building area square footage calculations. Depreciated Cost of Improvements =\$ 413,8 "As-is" Value of Site Improvements =\$ 5,1 Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 60 Years INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH =\$ 435,9	000 052 263 487 802) 802 128 930
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) Site value was determined by extraction methods ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW OPINION OF SITE VALUE ==\$17,0 Source of cost data Marshal and Swift DWELLING 4,079 Sq.Ft. @\$96.36 ==\$393,0 Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 01/2017 O Sq.Ft. @\$ 96.36 ==\$ Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) FP,Prch,Pt,Etc =\$ 5,2 Marshall & Swift Residential Cost manual was used to estimate the Garage/Carport 754 Sq.Ft. @\$ 20.54 ==\$ 15,4 replacement cost. Site value was determined by extraction method. Total Estimate of Cost-New ==\$ 413,8 Less Physical Functional External See sketch attached for building area square footage calculations. Depreciation determined by age life method. Physical depreciation determined by age life method. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 60 Years INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH Set To VALUE (not required by Fannie Mae)	000 052 263 487 802) 802 128 930
INCOME COST APPROACH	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) Site value was determined by extraction method ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW OPINION OF SITE VALUE =\$ 17,0 Source of cost data Marshal and Swift DWELLING 4,079 Sq.Ft. @\$ 96.36 =\$ 393,0 Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 01/2017 O Sq.Ft. @\$ 20.54 =\$ 5,2 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) FP,Prch,Pt,Etc =\$ 5,2 Marshall & Swift Residential Cost manual was used to estimate the Garage/Carport 754 Sq.Ft. @\$ 20.54 =\$ 15,4 replacement cost. Site value was determined by extraction method. Total Estimate of Cost-New =\$ 413,8 Less Physical Functional External See sketch attached for building area square footage calculations. Depreciated Cost of Improvements =\$ 5,1 Marsial depreciation determined by age life method. Depreciated Cost of Improvements =\$ 5,1 Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 60 Years INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH	000 052 263 487 802) 802 128 930
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) Site value was determined by extraction method ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW OPINION OF SITE VALUE ==\$17,0 Source of cost data Marshal and Swift DWELLING 4,079 Sq.Ft.@\$96.36 ==\$393,0 Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 01/2017 O Sq.Ft.@\$96.36 ==\$393,0 Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 01/2017 O Sq.Ft.@\$20.54 ==\$5,2 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Harshall & Swift Residential Cost manual was used to estimate the Garage/Carport 754 Sq.Ft.@\$20.54 ==\$15,4 replacement cost. Site value was determined by extraction method. Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Functional External See sketch attached for building area square footage calculations. Depreciation Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 60 Years INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH =\$435,9 INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE (not required by Fannie Mae) Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier = \$ Indicated Value by Income Approach Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM)	000 052 263 487 802) 802 128 930
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) Site value was determined by extraction method ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW OPINION OF SITE VALUE	000 052 263 487 802) 802 128 930
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) Site value was determined by extraction method ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW OPINION OF SITE VALUE ==\$ 17,0 Source of cost data Marshal and Swift DWELLING 4,079 Sq.Ft.@\$ 96.36 ==\$ 393,0 Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 01/2017 0 Sq.Ft.@\$ 96.36 ==\$ 393,0 Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 01/2017 0 Sq.Ft.@\$ 96.36 ==\$ 5,2 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) FP,Prch,Pt,Etc FP,Prch,Pt,Etc FP,Prch,Pt,Etc See sketch attached for building area square footage calculations. Depreciation Cost of Improvements ==\$ 413,8 See sketch attached for building area square footage calculations. Depreciated Cost of Improvements ==\$ 5,1 Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 60 Years NDICATED VALUE EY COST APPROACH ==\$ 435,9 INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE (not required by Fannie Mae) Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier = \$ Indicated Value by Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) More emphasis was placed on the direct sales comparison approach, and it was closely supported by the cost approach. The income approach was not used as the subject is in a predominately owner occupied area. PROJECT INFORMATION FOR PUDs (if applicable)	000 052 263 487 802) 802 128 930
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) Site value was determined by extraction method ESTIMATED	000 052 263 487 802) 802 128 930
INCOME	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) Site value was determined by extraction methods Source of cost data Marshal and Swift DWELLING 4,079 5,1P. 9 6,36 = \$ 17,0 Source of cost data Marshal and Swift DWELLING 4,079 5,1P. 9 6,36 = \$ 393,0 Ouality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 01/2017 0 5,1P. 9 6,36 = \$ 5,2 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) FP,Prch,Pt,Etc = \$ 5,2 Marshall & Swift Residential Cost manual was used to estimate the replacement cost. Site value was determined by extraction method. Total Estimate of Cost New = \$ 413,8 Less Physical Functional External See sketch attached for building area square footage calculations. Depreciated Cost of Improvements = \$ 413,8 Less Physical depreciation determined by age life method. Total Estimate of Site Improvements = \$ 413,8 Less Physical depreciation determined by age life method. Total Estimate S X Gross Rent Multiplier = \$ INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH = \$ 413,8 Less Physical depreciation determined by age life method. Total Estimate of Site Improvements = \$ 413,8 Less Physical depreciation determined by age life method. Total Estimate S X Gross Rent Multiplier = \$ INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH = \$ 413,8 Less Physical Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier = \$ INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH = \$ 435,9 INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH = \$ 4413,8 Less Physical functional Mean Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) More emphasis was placed on the direct sales comparison approach, and it was closely supported by the cost approach. The income approach was not used as the subject is in a predominately owner occupied area. PROJECT INFORMATION FOR PUBs (in During Liperate) Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the	000 052 263 487 802) 802 128 930
INCOME	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) Site value was determined by extraction method ESTIMATED	000 052 263 487 802) 802 128 930
INCOME	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) Site value was determined by extraction methods ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW OPINION OF SITE VALUE	000 052 263 487 802) 802 128 930
INCOME	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) Site value was determined by extraction methods for setimating site value Site value was determined by extraction methods for estimating site value Source of cost data Marshal and Swift DWELLING 4,079 Sq.Pt. @ \$ 96.36	000 052 263 487 802) 802 128 930
-ORMATION INCOME	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) Site value was determined by extraction methods Source of cost data Marshal and Swift DWELLING 4.079 Sq.Ft.@\$ 96.36=\$ 3393.0 Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 01/2017 0 Sq.Ft.@\$ 96.36=\$ 3393.0 Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 01/2017 0 Sq.Ft.@\$ 20.54=\$ 5.2 Marshall & Swift Residential Cost manual was used to estimate the Garage(Carport 754 Sq.Ft.@\$ 20.54=\$ 154.4 Marshall & Swift Residential Cost manual was used to estimate the Garage(Carport 754 Sq.Ft.@\$ 20.54=\$ 154.4 See sketch attached for building area square footage calculations. Depreciated Cost of Improvements=\$ 413.8 Less Physical Functional External See sketch attached for building area square footage calculations. Depreciated Cost of Improvements=\$ 5.1 Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multipler=\$ Indicated Value by Income Approach Norde Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) More emphasis was placed on the direct sales comparison approach, and it was closely supported by the cost approach. The incorme approach was not used as the subject is in a predominately owner occupied area. PROJECT INFORMATION FOR PUDS (M applicable) Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes No Unit type (poptry is an attached meding unit. Legal Name of Project Total number of units Total number of units for sale Total number of units for sale Data source(s) West Drive Created by the corversion dexisting building(s) into a PUD? Yes No IN Yes, date of conversion.	000 052 263 487 802) 802 128 930
-ORMATION INCOME	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) Site value was determined by extraction methods for estimating site value Site value was determined by extraction methods for estimating site value Site value was determined by extraction methods for estimating site value Site value was determined by extraction methods for estimating site value Source of cost data Marshal and Swift DWELLING 4,079 Sq.Ft.@\$ 96.36 = \$ 339.0 Duality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 01/2017 0 Sq.Ft.@\$ 96.36 = \$ 5.2 Marshall & Swift Residential Cost manual was used to estimate the Garage/Carport 754 Sq.Ft.@\$ 20.54 = \$ 5.2 Marshall & Swift Residential Cost manual was used to estimate the Garage/Carport 754 Sq.Ft.@\$ 20.54 = \$ 5.2 Marshall & Swift Residential Cost manual was used to estimate the Garage/Carport 754 Sq.Ft.@\$ 20.54 = \$ 5.2 Marshall & Swift Residential Cost manual was used to estimate the Garage/Carport 754 Sq.Ft.@\$ 20.54 = \$ 5.2 Marshall & Swift Residential Cost manual was used to estimate the Garage/Carport 754 Sq.Ft.@\$ 20.54 = \$ 5.2 Marshall & Swift Residential Cost manual was used to estimate the Garage/Carport 754 Sq.Ft.@\$ 20.54 = \$ 5.2 Marshall & Swift Residential Cost manual was used to estimate the Garage/Carport 754 Sq.Ft.@\$ 20.54 = \$ 5.2 Marshall & Swift Residential Cost manual was used to estimate the Garage/Carport 754 Sq.Ft.@\$ 20.54 = \$ 5.2 Marshall & Swift Residential Cost manual was used to estimate the Garage/Carport 754 Sq.Ft.@\$ 20.54 = \$ 5.2 Marshall & Swift Residential Cost manual was used to estimate the Garage/Carport 754 Sq.Ft.@\$ 20.54 = \$ 5.2 Marshall & Swift Residential Cost manual was used to estimate the Garage/Carport 5 See sketch attached for building area square footage calculations. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 60 Vears NDICATED VALUE EVCOST APPROACH 5 Summary of Income App	000 052 263 487 802) 802 128 930
INCOME	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) Site value was determined by extraction methods for estimating site value Site value was determined by extraction methods for estimating site value Site value was determined by extraction methods for estimating site value Site value was determined by extraction methods for estimating site value Source of cost data Marshal and Swift DWELLING 4,079 Sq.Ft.@\$ 96.36 = \$ 339.0 Duality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 01/2017 0 Sq.Ft.@\$ 96.36 = \$ 5.2 Marshall & Swift Residential Cost manual was used to estimate the Garage/Carport 754 Sq.Ft.@\$ 20.54 = \$ 5.2 Marshall & Swift Residential Cost manual was used to estimate the Garage/Carport 754 Sq.Ft.@\$ 20.54 = \$ 5.2 Marshall & Swift Residential Cost manual was used to estimate the Garage/Carport 754 Sq.Ft.@\$ 20.54 = \$ 5.2 Marshall & Swift Residential Cost manual was used to estimate the Garage/Carport 754 Sq.Ft.@\$ 20.54 = \$ 5.2 Marshall & Swift Residential Cost manual was used to estimate the Garage/Carport 754 Sq.Ft.@\$ 20.54 = \$ 5.2 Marshall & Swift Residential Cost manual was used to estimate the Garage/Carport 754 Sq.Ft.@\$ 20.54 = \$ 5.2 Marshall & Swift Residential Cost manual was used to estimate the Garage/Carport 754 Sq.Ft.@\$ 20.54 = \$ 5.2 Marshall & Swift Residential Cost manual was used to estimate the Garage/Carport 754 Sq.Ft.@\$ 20.54 = \$ 5.2 Marshall & Swift Residential Cost manual was used to estimate the Garage/Carport 754 Sq.Ft.@\$ 20.54 = \$ 5.2 Marshall & Swift Residential Cost manual was used to estimate the Garage/Carport 754 Sq.Ft.@\$ 20.54 = \$ 5.2 Marshall & Swift Residential Cost manual was used to estimate the Garage/Carport 5 See sketch attached for building area square footage calculations. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 60 Vears NDICATED VALUE EVCOST APPROACH 5 Summary of Income App	000 052 263 487 802) 802 128 930
-ORMATION INCOME	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) Site value was determined by extraction methods for estimating site value Site value was determined by extraction methods for estimating site value Site value was determined by extraction methods for estimating site value Site value was determined by extraction methods for estimating site value Source of cost data Marshal and Swift DWELLING 4,079 Sq.Ft.@\$ 96.36 = \$ 339.0 Duality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 01/2017 0 Sq.Ft.@\$ 96.36 = \$ 5.2 Marshall & Swift Residential Cost manual was used to estimate the Garage/Carport 754 Sq.Ft.@\$ 20.54 = \$ 5.2 Marshall & Swift Residential Cost manual was used to estimate the Garage/Carport 754 Sq.Ft.@\$ 20.54 = \$ 5.2 Marshall & Swift Residential Cost manual was used to estimate the Garage/Carport 754 Sq.Ft.@\$ 20.54 = \$ 5.2 Marshall & Swift Residential Cost manual was used to estimate the Garage/Carport 754 Sq.Ft.@\$ 20.54 = \$ 5.2 Marshall & Swift Residential Cost manual was used to estimate the Garage/Carport 754 Sq.Ft.@\$ 20.54 = \$ 5.2 Marshall & Swift Residential Cost manual was used to estimate the Garage/Carport 754 Sq.Ft.@\$ 20.54 = \$ 5.2 Marshall & Swift Residential Cost manual was used to estimate the Garage/Carport 754 Sq.Ft.@\$ 20.54 = \$ 5.2 Marshall & Swift Residential Cost manual was used to estimate the Garage/Carport 754 Sq.Ft.@\$ 20.54 = \$ 5.2 Marshall & Swift Residential Cost manual was used to estimate the Garage/Carport 754 Sq.Ft.@\$ 20.54 = \$ 5.2 Marshall & Swift Residential Cost manual was used to estimate the Garage/Carport 754 Sq.Ft.@\$ 20.54 = \$ 5.2 Marshall & Swift Residential Cost manual was used to estimate the Garage/Carport 5 See sketch attached for building area square footage calculations. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 60 Vears NDICATED VALUE EVCOST APPROACH 5 Summary of Income App	000 052 263 487 802) 802 128 930
-ORMATION INCOME	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculations. Site value Site value was determined by extraction methods Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) Site value was determined by extraction method ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW OPINION OF SITE VALUE =\$ Source of cost data Marshall and Swift DWELLING 4.079 Sq.R.@ \$ 96.36 =\$ 393.0 Duality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 01/2017 O Sq.R.@ \$ 20.54 =\$ 5.2 Marshall & Swift Residential Cost manual was used to estimate the Garage/Carport 754 Sq.R.@ \$ 20.54 =\$ 113.8 See sketch attached for building area square footage calculations. Depreciation =\$ 413.8 Stimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 60 Years NINCATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH =\$ 435.9 Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) More emphasis was placed on the direct sales comparation approach, and it was closely supported by the cost approach. The income approach was not used as the subject is in a predominately owner occupied area. Provide the following informat	000 052 263 487 802) 802 128 930
-ORMATION INCOME	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculations. Site value Site value was determined by extraction methods Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) Site value was determined by extraction method ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW OPINION OF SITE VALUE =\$ Source of cost data Marshall and Swift DWELLING 4.079 Sq.R.@ \$ 96.36 =\$ 393.0 Duality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 01/2017 O Sq.R.@ \$ 20.54 =\$ 5.2 Marshall & Swift Residential Cost manual was used to estimate the Garage/Carport 754 Sq.R.@ \$ 20.54 =\$ 113.8 See sketch attached for building area square footage calculations. Depreciation =\$ 413.8 Stimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 60 Years NINCATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH =\$ 435.9 Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) More emphasis was placed on the direct sales comparation approach, and it was closely supported by the cost approach. The income approach was not used as the subject is in a predominately owner occupied area. Provide the following informat	000 052 263 487 802) 802 128 930

This report form is designed to report an appraisal of a one-unit property or a one-unit property with an accessory unit; including a unit in a planned unit development (PUD). This report form is not designed to report an appraisal of a manufactured home or a unit in a condominium or cooperative project.

This appraisal report is subject to the following scope of work, intended use, intended user, definition of market value, statement of assumptions and limiting conditions, and certifications. Modifications, additions, or deletions to the intended use, intended user, definition of market value, or assumptions and limiting conditions are not permitted. The appraiser may expand the scope of work to include any additional research or analysis necessary based on the complexity of this appraisal assignment. Modifications or deletions to the certifications are also not permitted. However, additional certifications that do not constitute material alterations to this appraisal report, such as those required by law or those related to the appraiser's continuing education or membership in an appraisal organization, are permitted.

SCOPE OF WORK: The scope of work for this appraisal is defined by the complexity of this appraisal assignment and the reporting requirements of this appraisal report form, including the following definition of market value, statement of assumptions and limiting conditions, and certifications. The appraiser must, at a minimum: (1) perform a complete visual inspection of the interior and exterior areas of the subject property, (2) inspect the neighborhood, (3) inspect each of the comparable sales from at least the street, (4) research, verify, and analyze data from reliable public and/or private sources, and (5) report his or her analysis, opinions, and conclusions in this appraisal report.

INTENDED USE: The intended use of this appraisal report is for the lender/client to evaluate the property that is the subject of this appraisal for a mortgage finance transaction.

INTENDED USER: The intended user of this appraisal report is the lender/client.

DEFINITION OF MARKET VALUE: The most probable price which a property should bring in a competitive and open market under all conditions requisite to a fair sale, the buyer and seller, each acting prudently, knowledgeably and assuming the price is not affected by undue stimulus. Implicit in this definition is the consummation of a sale as of a specified date and the passing of title from seller to buyer under conditions whereby: (1) buyer and seller are typically motivated; (2) both parties are well informed or well advised, and each acting in what he or she considers his or her own best interest; (3) a reasonable time is allowed for exposure in the open market; (4) payment is made in terms of cash in U. S. dollars or in terms of financial arrangements comparable thereto; and (5) the price represents the normal consideration for the property sold unaffected by special or creative financing or sales concessions* granted by anyone associated with the sale.

*Adjustments to the comparables must be made for special or creative financing or sales concessions. No adjustments are necessary for those costs which are normally paid by sellers as a result of tradition or law in a market area; these costs are readily identifiable since the seller pays these costs in virtually all sales transactions. Special or creative financing adjustments can be made to the comparable property by comparisons to financing terms offered by a third party institutional lender that is not already involved in the property or transaction. Any adjustment should not be calculated on a mechanical dollar for dollar cost of the financing or concession but the dollar amount of any adjustment should approximate the market's reaction to the financing or concessions based on the appraiser's judgment.

STATEMENT OF ASSUMPTIONS AND LIMITING CONDITIONS: The appraiser's certification in this report is subject to the following assumptions and limiting conditions:

1. The appraiser will not be responsible for matters of a legal nature that affect either the property being appraised or the title to it, except for information that he or she became aware of during the research involved in performing this appraisal. The appraiser assumes that the title is good and marketable and will not render any opinions about the title.

2. The appraiser has provided a sketch in this appraisal report to show the approximate dimensions of the improvements. The sketch is included only to assist the reader in visualizing the property and understanding the appraiser's determination of its size.

3. The appraiser has examined the available flood maps that are provided by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (or other data sources) and has noted in this appraisal report whether any portion of the subject site is located in an identified Special Flood Hazard Area. Because the appraiser is not a surveyor, he or she makes no guarantees, express or implied, regarding this determination.

4. The appraiser will not give testimony or appear in court because he or she made an appraisal of the property in question, unless specific arrangements to do so have been made beforehand, or as otherwise required by law.

5. The appraiser has noted in this appraisal report any adverse conditions (such as needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, etc.) observed during the inspection of the subject property or that he or she became aware of during the research involved in performing the appraisal. Unless otherwise stated in this appraisal report, the appraiser has no knowledge of any hidden or unapparent physical deficiencies or adverse conditions of the property (such as, but not limited to, needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, adverse environmental conditions, etc.) that would make the property less valuable, and has assumed that there are no such conditions and makes no guarantees or warranties, express or implied. The appraiser will not be responsible for any such conditions that do exist or for any engineering or testing that might be required to discover whether such conditions exist. Because the appraiser is not an expert in the field of environmental hazards, this appraisal report must not be considered as an environmental assessment of the property.

6. The appraiser has based his or her appraisal report and valuation conclusion for an appraisal that is subject to satisfactory completion, repairs, or alterations on the assumption that the completion, repairs, or alterations of the subject property will be performed in a professional manner.

UAD Version 9/2011 Page 4 of 6

/I 1004 March 2005 Tole Ful Serial# 2A6AB5A8 esign.alamode.com/verify

APPRAISER'S CERTIFICATION: The Appraiser certifies and agrees that:

1. I have, at a minimum, developed and reported this appraisal in accordance with the scope of work requirements stated in this appraisal report.

2. I performed a complete visual inspection of the interior and exterior areas of the subject property. I reported the condition of the improvements in factual, specific terms. I identified and reported the physical deficiencies that could affect the livability, soundness, or structural integrity of the property.

3. I performed this appraisal in accordance with the requirements of the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that were adopted and promulgated by the Appraisal Standards Board of The Appraisal Foundation and that were in place at the time this appraisal report was prepared.

4. I developed my opinion of the market value of the real property that is the subject of this report based on the sales comparison approach to value. I have adequate comparable market data to develop a reliable sales comparison approach for this appraisal assignment. I further certify that I considered the cost and income approaches to value but did not develop them, unless otherwise indicated in this report.

5. I researched, verified, analyzed, and reported on any current agreement for sale for the subject property, any offering for sale of the subject property in the twelve months prior to the effective date of this appraisal, and the prior sales of the subject property for a minimum of three years prior to the effective date of this appraisal, unless otherwise indicated in this report.

6. I researched, verified, analyzed, and reported on the prior sales of the comparable sales for a minimum of one year prior to the date of sale of the comparable sale, unless otherwise indicated in this report.

7. I selected and used comparable sales that are locationally, physically, and functionally the most similar to the subject property.

8. I have not used comparable sales that were the result of combining a land sale with the contract purchase price of a home that has been built or will be built on the land.

9. I have reported adjustments to the comparable sales that reflect the market's reaction to the differences between the subject property and the comparable sales.

10. I verified, from a disinterested source, all information in this report that was provided by parties who have a financial interest in the sale or financing of the subject property.

11. I have knowledge and experience in appraising this type of property in this market area.

12. I am aware of, and have access to, the necessary and appropriate public and private data sources, such as multiple listing services, tax assessment records, public land records and other such data sources for the area in which the property is located.

13. I obtained the information, estimates, and opinions furnished by other parties and expressed in this appraisal report from reliable sources that I believe to be true and correct.

14. I have taken into consideration the factors that have an impact on value with respect to the subject neighborhood, subject property, and the proximity of the subject property to adverse influences in the development of my opinion of market value. I have noted in this appraisal report any adverse conditions (such as, but not limited to, needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, adverse environmental conditions, etc.) observed during the inspection of the subject property or that I became aware of during the research involved in performing this appraisal. I have considered these adverse conditions in my analysis of the property value, and have reported on the effect of the conditions on the value and marketability of the subject property.

15. I have not knowingly withheld any significant information from this appraisal report and, to the best of my knowledge, all statements and information in this appraisal report are true and correct.

16. I stated in this appraisal report my own personal, unbiased, and professional analysis, opinions, and conclusions, which are subject only to the assumptions and limiting conditions in this appraisal report.

17. I have no present or prospective interest in the property that is the subject of this report, and I have no present or prospective personal interest or bias with respect to the participants in the transaction. I did not base, either partially or completely, my analysis and/or opinion of market value in this appraisal report on the race, color, religion, sex, age, marital status, handicap, familial status, or national origin of either the prospective owners or occupants of the subject property or of the present owners or occupants of the properties in the vicinity of the subject property or on any other basis prohibited by law.

18. My employment and/or compensation for performing this appraisal or any future or anticipated appraisals was not conditioned on any agreement or understanding, written or otherwise, that I would report (or present analysis supporting) a predetermined specific value, a predetermined minimum value, a range or direction in value, a value that favors the cause of any party, or the attainment of a specific result or occurrence of a specific subsequent event (such as approval of a pending mortgage loan application).

19. I personally prepared all conclusions and opinions about the real estate that were set forth in this appraisal report. If I relied on significant real property appraisal assistance from any individual or individuals in the performance of this appraisal or the preparation of this appraisal report, I have named such individual(s) and disclosed the specific tasks performed in this appraisal report. I certify that any individual so named is qualified to perform the tasks. I have not authorized anyone to make a change to any item in this appraisal report; therefore, any change made to this appraisal is unauthorized and I will take no responsibility for it.

20. I identified the lender/client in this appraisal report who is the individual, organization, or agent for the organization that ordered and will receive this appraisal report.



21. The lender/client may disclose or distribute this appraisal report to: the borrower; another lender at the request of the borrower; the mortgagee or its successors and assigns; mortgage insurers; government sponsored enterprises; other secondary market participants; data collection or reporting services; professional appraisal organizations; any department, agency, or instrumentality of the United States; and any state, the District of Columbia, or other jurisdictions; without having to obtain the appraiser's or supervisory appraiser's (if applicable) consent. Such consent must be obtained before this appraisal report may be disclosed or distributed to any other party (including, but not limited to, the public through advertising, public relations, news, sales, or other media).

22. I am aware that any disclosure or distribution of this appraisal report by me or the lender/client may be subject to certain laws and regulations. Further, I am also subject to the provisions of the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that pertain to disclosure or distribution by me.

23. The borrower, another lender at the request of the borrower, the mortgagee or its successors and assigns, mortgage insurers, government sponsored enterprises, and other secondary market participants may rely on this appraisal report as part of any mortgage finance transaction that involves any one or more of these parties.

24. If this appraisal report was transmitted as an "electronic record" containing my "electronic signature," as those terms are defined in applicable federal and/or state laws (excluding audio and video recordings), or a facsimile transmission of this appraisal report containing a copy or representation of my signature, the appraisal report shall be as effective, enforceable and valid as if a paper version of this appraisal report were delivered containing my original hand written signature.

25. Any intentional or negligent misrepresentation(s) contained in this appraisal report may result in civil liability and/or criminal penalties including, but not limited to, fine or imprisonment or both under the provisions of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1001, et seq., or similar state laws.

SUPERVISORY APPRAISER'S CERTIFICATION: The Supervisory Appraiser certifies and agrees that:

Serial:2A6AB5A8

esign.alamode.com/verify

1. I directly supervised the appraiser for this appraisal assignment, have read the appraisal report, and agree with the appraiser's analysis, opinions, statements, conclusions, and the appraiser's certification.

2. I accept full responsibility for the contents of this appraisal report including, but not limited to, the appraiser's analysis, opinions, statements, conclusions, and the appraiser's certification.

3. The appraiser identified in this appraisal report is either a sub-contractor or an employee of the supervisory appraiser (or the appraisal firm), is qualified to perform this appraisal, and is acceptable to perform this appraisal under the applicable state law.

4. This appraisal report complies with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that were adopted and promulgated by the Appraisal Standards Board of The Appraisal Foundation and that were in place at the time this appraisal report was prepared.

5. If this appraisal report was transmitted as an "electronic record" containing my "electronic signature," as those terms are defined in applicable federal and/or state laws (excluding audio and video recordings), or a facsimile transmission of this appraisal report containing a copy or representation of my signature, the appraisal report shall be as effective, enforceable and valid as if a paper version of this appraisal report were delivered containing my original hand written signature.

APPRAISER Robert Dieudome	SUPERVISORY APPRAISER (ONLY IF REQUIRED)
Signature	Signature
Name Robert Dieudonne	Name
Company Name Home Team Appraisais	Company Name
Company Address <u>3111 Edgewood Dr</u>	Company Address
Pearland, TX 77584	
Telephone Number (832) 637-7705	Telephone Number
Email Address Robert@hometeamappraisals.com	Email Address
Date of Signature and Report 05/09/2018	Date of Signature
Effective Date of Appraisal 05/04/2018	State Certification #
State Certification # 1337316-R	or State License #
or State License #	State
or Other (describe) State #	Expiration Date of Certification or License
State TX	
Expiration Date of Certification or License 09/30/2019	SUBJECT PROPERTY
	Did not inspect subject property
ADDRESS OF PROPERTY APPRAISED	 Did inspect subject property Did inspect exterior of subject property from street
1039 Lakeland Cir	Date of Inspection
Rosharon, TX 77583	Did inspect interior and exterior of subject property
APPRAISED VALUE OF SUBJECT PROPERTY \$ 435,000	Date of Inspection
LENDER/CLIENT	
Name Janus Appraisal Manager	COMPARABLE SALES
Company Name Homewood Mortgage	COMPARABLE SALES
Company Address 18170 Dallas Parkway 304, Dallas, TX 75287	Did not inspect exterior of comparable sales from street
	Did inspect exterior of comparable sales from street
Email Address	Date of Inspection
	·

Freddie Mac Form 70 March 2005



180405029 Uniform Residential Appraisal Report 77458 File # SUBJECT COMPARABLE SALE # 4 COMPARABLE SALE # 5 COMPARABLE SALE # 6 FEATURE Address 4710 Magnolia Bend Dr 726 Comanche Trail Dr 5002 Magnolia Bend Dr 1039 Lakeland Cir Rosharon, TX 77583 Rosharon, TX 77583 Rosharon, TX 77583 Rosharon, TX 77583 Proximity to Subject 7.15 miles NE 1.26 miles NW 7.28 miles NE Sale Price \$ \$ 410,000 |\$ 425,500 356,000 Sale Price/Gross Liv. Area sa.ft. \$ 109.25 sq.ft. 112.45 sq.ft. 113.38 sq.ft. \$ \$ \$ HARMLS#31442387;DOM 14 Data Source(s) HARMLS#57135516;DOM 18 HARMLS#56751476;DOM 54 Verification Source(s) Inspection Inspection Inspection DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION VALUE ADJUSTMENTS DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION +(-) \$ Adjustment +(-) \$ Adjustment +(-) \$ Adjustment Sales or Financing ArmLth ArmLth ArmLth Concessions Conv;0 Conv;0 Conv;3000 -3,000 Date of Sale/Time s03/18;c02/18 s12/17;c11/17 s05/17;c04/17 Location N:Res:Res N;Res;Res N;Res;Res N:Res:Res Leasehold/Fee Simple Fee Simple Fee Simple Fee Simple Fee Simple Site -15,000 1.00 ac 1.00 ac 1.00 ac 2.00 ac View N;Res;Res N;Res;Res N;Res;Res N;Res;Res Design (Style) DT2;Traditional DT2;Traditional DT1;Traditional 0 DT2;Traditional Quality of Construction Q3 Q3 Q3 Q3 Actual Age 0 9 +9,000 13 +13,000 4 +4,000 Condition C1 C3 +2,000 C3 +2,000 C3 +2,000 Above Grade Total Bdrms. Baths Total Bdrms. Baths Total Bdrms. Baths -1,000 Total Bdrms. Baths Room Count 10 4 4.1 10 4 3.1 +3,000 10 4 3.1 +3,000 10 5 3.1 +3,000 SALES 3,140 sq.ft. +37,560 Gross Living Area 3,753 sq.ft. +13,040 +11,800 4,079 sq.ft. 3,784 sq.ft. Basement & Finished 0sf 0sf 0sf 0sf Rooms Below Grade Functional Utility Typical Typical Typical Typical Central HVAC Central HVAC Central HVAC Heating/Cooling Central HVAC Energy Efficient Items Typical Typical Typical Typical Garage/Carport 3ga3dw 2gd2dw +2,000 2ga2dw +2,000 2ga2dw +2,000 Porch/Patio/Deck Porch/Patio Porch/Patio Porch/Patio Porch/Patio Pool Description None None None None # of Fireplaces None 1 Fireplace -1,000 1 Fireplace -1,000 None Other None Workshop -2,000 Workshop -2,000 None **X** + Net Adjustment (Total) X **X** + \$ 26,040 \$ 13,800 \$ 44,560 Adjusted Sale Price Net Adj. 6.4 % Net Adj 3.2 % Net Adi 12.5 % of Comparables Gross Adj 7.8 % \$ 436.040 Gross Adj. 11.7 % \$ 439.300 Gross Adj. 14.8 % \$ 400.560 Report the results of the research and analysis of the prior sale or transfer history of the subject property and comparable sales (report additional prior sales on page 3). ITEM SUBJECT COMPARABLE SALE # 4 COMPARABLE SALE # 5 COMPARABLE SALE # 6 Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) HARMLS HARMLS HARMLS HARMLS Effective Date of Data Source(s) 05/04/2018 05/04/2018 05/04/2018 05/04/2018 Analysis of prior sale or transfer history of the subject property and comparable sales A search of HARMLS records revealed no sales activity in the last 3 years. No prior sale of the comps occurred in the last 12 months. Analysis/Comments



UAD Version 9/2011



		l	Jnifo	orm Re	sidential A	opr	aisa	l Re	port	Filo #	180405029 77458		
FEATURE		SUBJECT			LE SALE # 7				E SALE # 8	rile#	COMPARABL	E SALE # 0	
Address 1039 Lake	eland Cir	JODJEOT	16718	Wrangler	•	135	1 Lake			2026	Pearce Ln	.L UALL # 9	
	i, TX 77583			aron, TX 7			haron,				aron, TX 77	583	
Proximity to Subject	<u>, 17 11000</u>			niles NW) miles		000		miles NW	000	
Sale Price	\$		2.00 1		\$ 498,500		/ 111100	<u> </u>	\$ 499,000			\$ 4	45,000
Sale Price/Gross Liv. Are	a \$	sq.ft.	\$ 1	06.11 sq.ft.	/	\$	136.8	2 sq.ft.	,		108.35 sq.ft.	-	
Data Source(s)					6174;DOM 190	HAF			67;DOM 149		MLS#63188	15;DOM 28	8
Verification Source(s)			Inspec	ction			ection				ection		
VALUE ADJUSTMENTS		DESCRIPTION	DES	CRIPTION	+ (-) \$ Adjustment		ESCRIPT	FION	+(-) \$ Adjustment		SCRIPTION	+(-) \$ Adju	stment
Sales or Financing			ArmLt			List	-			Listir	Ig		
Concessions			Conv;				ing;0			Listir			
Date of Sale/Time				7;c07/17		Acti			-9,980				-8,900
Location Leasehold/Fee Simple		Res;Res	N;Res				es;Res				s;Res		
Site		e Simple 0 ac	Fee S 5.00 a		-60,000		Simple	e		Fee 3	Simple		15,000
View			N;Res		-00,000		es;Res				s;Res		15,000
Leasehold/Fee Simple Site View Design (Style) Quality of Construction				raditional			2;Tradil				Traditional		
Quality of Construction	Q3	-	Q3	laandoniai		Q3	., 11001	lional		Q3	riddidoridi		
Actual Age	0		11		+11,000				+10,000			+	15,000
Actual Age Condition Above Grade	C1		C3		+2,000	C3			+2,000	C3			+2,000
	Tota			Bdrms. Baths		Tota					Bdrms. Baths		
Room Count	10	-	10	4 3.2	+1,500			3.1	+3,000		4 3.1		+3,000
Gross Living Area		4,079 sq.ft.		4,698 sq.ft.	-24,760		3,64	7 sq.ft.	+17,280		4,107 sq.ft.		-1,120
Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade	0sf		0sf			0sf				0sf			
Functional Utility	T.,,,	oical	Туріса	al		Тур	ical			Туріс	al		
Heating/Cooling		ntral HVAC		al HVAC			itral H\	/AC			ral HVAC		
Energy Efficient Items		bical	Typica			Тур				Typic			
Garage/Carport		a3dw	3ga3d				3dw			3ga3			
Porch/Patio/Deck	Por	rch/Patio	Porch	/Patio		Por	ch/Pati	0		Porc	h/Patio		
Pool Description	Noi		Pool		-10,000					None			
# of Fireplaces	Noi			places	-2,000						eplaces		-2,000
Other	Noi	ne	Works				kshop		-2,000			ф	
Net Adjustment (Total) Adjusted Sale Price			Net Adj.	+ 🗙 - 16.9 %	\$ -84,260	Net A	∢ +	4.1 %	\$ 20,300	Net Ad	<u> + ⊠ -</u> j. 1.6 %	\$	-7,020
of Comparables			Gross A					4.1 % 8.9 %				\$ 1	37,980
Report the results of the	research and a											Ψ 1	57,900
ITEM			JBJECT		COMPARABLE S				OMPARABLE SALE # {			ABLE SALE #	9
Date of Prior Sale/Transf	er												
Price of Prior Sale/Transf	fer												
Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data So Analysis of prior sale or t	()	HARMLS			HARMLS			HARN			HARMLS		
Effective Date of Data So	. ,	05/04/2018	north (and		05/04/2018			05/04			05/04/2018		•
Analysis of prior sale or to years. No prior sale						earc	n of HA	ARMLS	S records revealed	i no s	ales activity	in the last	3
years. No prior sal		nps occurred		ast 12 mo	1015.								
Analysis/Comments													
5													
0													
A													
A													
Freddie Mac Form 70 N	Vlarch 2005				UAD Version 9/2	2011				Fan	nie Mae Forn	n 1004 Mar	ch 2005

Fannie Mae Form 1004 March 2005

Supplemental Addendum

File No. 77458

					11400	
Borrower	Freeman SR, Nathaniel					
Property Address	1039 Lakeland Cir					
City	Rosharon	County Brazoria	State	ТΧ	Zip Code	77583
Lender/Client	Homewood Mortgage					

ADDENDUM PAGE

DEFINITION OF MARKET VALUE

Market value means the most probable price which a property should bring in a competitive and open market under all conditions requisite to a fair sale, the buyer and seller each acting prudently and knowledgeably, and assuming the price is not affected by undue stimulus. Implicit in this definition is the consummation of a sale as of a specified date and the passing of title from seller to buyer under conditions whereby:

- 1. buyer and seller are typically motivated;
- 2. both parties are well informed or well advised and acting in what they consider their own best interests;
- 3. a reasonable time is allowed for exposure in the open market;
- 4. payment is made in terms of cash in U.S. dollars or in terms of financial arrangements comparable thereto; and

5. the price represents the normal consideration for the property sold unaffected by special or creative financing or sales concessions granted by anyone associated with the sale.

* This example definition is from regulations published by federal regulatory agencies pursuant to Title XI of the Financial Institutions Reform, Recovery, and Enforcement Act (FIRREA) of 1989 between July 5, 1990, and August 24, 1990, by the Federal Reserve System (FRS), National Credit Union Administration (NCUA), Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC), the Office of Thrift Supervision (OTS), and the Office of Comptroller of the Currency (OCC). This definition is also referenced in regulations jointly published by the OCC, OTS, FRS, and FDIC on June 7, 1994, and in the Interagency Appraisal and Evaluation Guidelines, dated October 27, 1994.

FEMA FLOOD ZONE DETERMINATION

The location of the subject relative to 100 year flood plain has been estimated using the data available. This can be considered only an estimate and it is the clients responsibility to make the 100 year flood zone determination using the survey that is performed by a qualified and licensed survey engineer.

DEED RESTRICTIONS

The subject is in an area where property use and building specifications are controlled by deed restrictions established by the developer at the time the subdivision was originally developed. No zoning is very common in Harris and surrounding counties, and subdivisions commonly define and maintain conformity of use via deed restrictions which are specified and filed with each sale and resale. Deed restrictions are enforced by the developer while they are in control of the subdivision, and then enforced by the home owners association when the development matures. Deed restrictions are the most common method of controlling development and property use in the Greater Houston SMSA and are recognized and accepted by the market as a proven method of promoting and supporting property use and values.

ADJUSTMENTS DISCUSSION

Concessions - Several of the comparables were sold with the seller agreeing to make minor repairs and/or pay a portion of the buyers closing costs as a condition of sale. Such concessions are common in this market. We reviewed the comparable sales used in this study to determine if an adjustment was warranted.

Date of Sale - Comparables 1, 2, 3, 5, 6, & 7 have sold more than 3 months from the time of inspection but were included due to the lack of comparable sales in this market. Market data suggests that a time adjustment is not warranted for homes which have sold more than 3 months back. Therefore, no time adjustment has been applied.

Listing - Comps 8 & 9 are listings. Houses in this neighborhood typically sell for 98% of their list price so we made a 2% adjustment to account for this.

Site - The subject has a different lot size than some of the comparables. We reviewed the county lot values of the subject and each sale and found they agreed with our field observations of relative site values. We applied a \$15000 per acre adjustment to each comp to account for the lot size difference.

Design - The market data suggests that there is no adjustment needed for a one story versus a two story in this market area.

Age - Some of the comps had a different actual age than the subject. I applied a \$1000 per year adjustment using paired data analysis to account for the difference in age greater than 3 years old.

Condition - The subject was an average condition (C-1) while some of the comps were not. I applied a \$1000 adjustment per unit to account for the difference in condition.

Room Count - It was not possible from the sales researched for this study to determine a market value for either a full or half bath using paired data analysis. We selected a value of \$1,500 for a half bath and \$3,000 for a full bath to adjust for these features. I applied a \$1,000 per bedroom adjustment to account for the bedroom difference. These values are within the range of values we observe in single family residences of similar quality of construction.

Gross Living Area - Studies of similar quality of construction and similar age homes which we have recently completed indicate an adjustment range between \$10 and \$60 per square foot. We selected \$40 per square foot as our size adjustment.

Garage - It was not possible to extract an adjustment for this feature using paired data analysis. I used the replacement cost for the additional garage space as extrapolated from the Marshall Swift cost calculations for this adjustment.

Fireplace - It was not possible to use paired data analysis to determine the value of this feature. I used the Marshall Swift cost manuals to estimate the replacement cost of this typical improvement to determine this adjustment.

Pool - Comparables 3 & 7 had a pool while the subject did not. It was not possible to derive this adjustment using paired sales



Supplemental	Addendum
---------------------	----------

				11100	
Borrower	Freeman SR, Nathaniel				
Property Address	1039 Lakeland Cir				
City	Rosharon	County Brazoria	State TX	Zip Code 77583	
Lender/Client	Homewood Mortgage				

analysis. I used the replacement cost of a this typical feature as determined by the Marshall Swift Cost manuals, adjusted for 60 percent functional and physical depreciation as the adjustment.

Workshop - Comparables 4, 5, 7, & 8 had a workshop while the subject did not. It was not possible to derive this adjustment using paired sales analysis. I used the replacement cost of a this typical feature as determined by the Marshall Swift Cost manuals, adjusted for 60 percent functional and physical depreciation as the adjustment.

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS

Some adjustments exceed our ideal guidelines for line, net, and gross adjustments. However, the subject was located in a market area where comparables similar in GLA, lot size, and quality of construction were extremely limited. Therefore, some large adjustments were warranted which exceed our line, net, and gross adjustment guidelines. The comps used in this report were the best sales available to us at this time.

Some of the comps exceed the ideal 1-mile proximity guideline. However, the subject was a unique property where sales of comparable homes with similar features were very limited. Therefore, we had to extend our search past the ideal 1 mile guideline. All comps were located in the subject's market area so no location adjustment was warranted. The comps used in this report were the best sales available in this market.

The predominant value in the market area is \$335,000 and the appraised value is \$450,000. The subject was one of the larger homes in the market area making the subject higher than the predominant value. The subject is not considered to be an over improvement.

The gas, electric, and water were all functional at the time of inspection.

The subject is located in a PUD and have common amenities such as sidewalks, jogging trails, and parks. The comps used were all located in a similar PUD as the subject. The surrounding PUDs have similar amenities as the subject.

The subject is located with in 30 miles of the appraisers office.

A reasonable exposure time for the subject is 90 days.

There was no surface drilling visible at the time of inspection.

I have not performed any services, as an appraiser or in any other capacity, regarding the property that is the subject of this report within the three-year period immediately preceding acceptance of this assignment.

The range of adjusted comparables is wider than what I would ideally like. However, comparable sales were very limited and the comps selected are the best sales available at the time of the appraisal.

No Water/Property damage due to flood/FEMA disaster in the area between 10/22/2015-10/312015.

No Water/Property damage due to flood/FEMA disaster in the area between 04/17/2016-04/26/2016.

No Water/Property damage due to flood/FEMA disaster in the area between 05/26/2016-06/26/2016.

No Water/Property damage due to flood/FEMA disaster in the area between 08/23/2017-09/15/2017.

The property was free from damage and the disaster had no effect on value or marketability. The subject's neighborhood did not have any flooding or damage done by the storms. The home is habitable.



Market	Conditions Add	lendum to the A	Appraisal Repor	t	File No.	180405029 77458	
The purpose of this addendum is to provide the lender/c	lient with a clear and accur	ate understanding of the m	arket trends and conditions p				
neighborhood. This is a required addendum for all appra Property Address 1039 Lakeland Cir	lisal reports with all enectiv	City Rosharor		Sta	te TX	ZIP Code 775	202
Borrower Freeman SR, Nathaniel			I	otu		211 0000 773	
Instructions: The appraiser must use the information real	quired on this form as the t	asis for his/her conclusion	s, and must provide support	for the	ose conclusio	ons, regarding	
housing trends and overall market conditions as reported	-						
it is available and reliable and must provide analysis as i					•		
explanation. It is recognized that not all data sources wil							
in the analysis. If data sources provide the required infor			•	-		-	
average. Sales and listings must be properties that com subject property. The appraiser must explain any anoma				eu by a	a prospective	buyer of the	
Inventory Analysis	Prior 7–12 Months	Prior 4–6 Months	Current – 3 Months			Overall Trend	
Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)	37	19	18		Increasing	Stable	X Declining
Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)	6.17	6.33	6.00			🗙 Stable	Declining
Total # of Comparable Active Listings	None	None	34	j		X Stable	Increasing
Months of Housing Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate)	None	None Driar 4. C. Martha	<u>5.7</u>		Declining	Stable	Increasing
Median Sale & List Price, DOM, Sale/List % Median Comparable Sale Price	Prior 7–12 Months	Prior 4–6 Months	Current – 3 Months		Increasing	Overall Trend Stable	Declining
Median Comparable Sales Days on Market	<u>325,000</u> 54	350,000 64	<u> </u>	╞	Declining	Stable	Increasing
Median Comparable List Price	None	None	425.000	H		Stable	Declining
Median Comparable Listings Days on Market	None	None	62		Declining	X Stable	Increasing
Median Sale Price as % of List Price	97	100	98		Increasing	🗙 Stable	Declining
Seller-(developer, builder, etc.)paid financial assistance					Declining	X Stable	Increasing
Explain in detail the seller concessions trends for the particular seller concessions trends for the particular seller selle							
fees, options, etc.). Several of the compar							
closing costs as a condition of sale. Such	concessions are con	nmon in this market.	The amount of seller	COLI	Indutions	appear to be	stable.
Our MLS does not provide the capability of	f producing historica	al active listing data t	herefore we have put	N/A	for month	s 7-12 and 4	-6.
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	j						•
Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the market	? 🗌 Yes 🗙 N	o If yes, explain (includ	ing the trends in listings and	sales	of foreclosed	l properties).	
Cite data sources for above information. MLSH	IAR						
Cite data sources for above information. MLSH	IAR						
Summarize the above information as support for your co	onclusions in the Neighbort			•			
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra	onclusions in the Neighbort wn listings, to formulate yo	ur conclusions, provide bot	th an explanation and suppor	t for yo	our conclusio	ons.	
Summarize the above information as support for your co	onclusions in the Neighbort wn listings, to formulate yo	ur conclusions, provide bot	th an explanation and suppor	t for yo	our conclusio	ons.	m.
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra	onclusions in the Neighbort wn listings, to formulate yo	ur conclusions, provide bot	th an explanation and suppor	t for yo	our conclusio	ons.	m
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra	onclusions in the Neighbort wn listings, to formulate yo	ur conclusions, provide bot	th an explanation and suppor	t for yo	our conclusio	ons.	m.
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra	onclusions in the Neighbort wn listings, to formulate yo	ur conclusions, provide bot	th an explanation and suppor	t for yo	our conclusio	ons.	m
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra	onclusions in the Neighbort wn listings, to formulate yo	ur conclusions, provide bot	th an explanation and suppor	t for yo	our conclusio	ons.	m.
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra	onclusions in the Neighbort wn listings, to formulate yo	ur conclusions, provide bot	th an explanation and suppor	t for yo	our conclusio	ons.	m
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra	onclusions in the Neighbort wn listings, to formulate yo	ur conclusions, provide bot	th an explanation and suppor	t for yo	our conclusio	ons.	m
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra	onclusions in the Neighbort wn listings, to formulate yo e over the past year.	ur conclusions, provide bol The above data su	th an explanation and suppor	t for yo e first	our conclusio	ons.	m
Summarize the above information as support for your or an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra The subject's market area has been stable	onclusions in the Neighbort wn listings, to formulate yo e over the past year.	ur conclusions, provide bol The above data su	th an explanation and support ports the data on the	t for yo e first	our conclusio	ons.	m
Summarize the above information as support for your or an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra The subject's market area has been stable If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)	project , complete the follo	ur conclusions, provide bol The above data su	th an explanation and support oports the data on the project N	t for yo e first	our conclusio	he URAR for	m
Summarize the above information as support for your or an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra The subject's market area has been stable If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)	project , complete the follo	ur conclusions, provide bol The above data su	th an explanation and support oports the data on the project N	t for yo e first	page of t	Overall Trend	Declining Declining
Summarize the above information as support for your or an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra The subject's market area has been stable If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings	project , complete the follo	ur conclusions, provide bol The above data su	th an explanation and support oports the data on the project N	lame:	page of t page of t ncreasing lncreasing Declining	Overall Trend Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable	Declining Declining Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra The subject's market area has been stable If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate)	project , complete the follo Prior 7–12 Months	ur conclusions, provide bot The above data su wing: Prior 4–6 Months	th an explanation and support poports the data on the project N Current – 3 Months	lame:	page of t page of t ncreasing Increasing Declining	Overall Trend Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your or an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra The subject's market area has been stable If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project	project , complete the follo Prior 7–12 Months	ur conclusions, provide bot The above data su wing: Prior 4–6 Months	th an explanation and support oports the data on the project N	lame:	page of t page of t ncreasing Increasing Declining	Overall Trend Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra The subject's market area has been stable If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate)	project , complete the follo Prior 7–12 Months	ur conclusions, provide bot The above data su wing: Prior 4–6 Months	th an explanation and support poports the data on the project N Current – 3 Months	lame:	page of t page of t ncreasing Increasing Declining	Overall Trend Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your or an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra The subject's market area has been stable If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project	project , complete the follo Prior 7–12 Months	ur conclusions, provide bot The above data su wing: Prior 4–6 Months	th an explanation and support poports the data on the project N Current – 3 Months	lame:	page of t page of t ncreasing Increasing Declining	Overall Trend Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your or an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra The subject's market area has been stable If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project	project , complete the follo Prior 7–12 Months	ur conclusions, provide bot The above data sup wing: Prior 4–6 Months	th an explanation and support poports the data on the project N Current – 3 Months	lame:	page of t page of t ncreasing Increasing Declining	Overall Trend Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your or an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra The subject's market area has been stable If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project	project , complete the follo Prior 7–12 Months	ur conclusions, provide bot The above data sup wing: Prior 4–6 Months	th an explanation and support poports the data on the project N Current – 3 Months	lame:	page of t page of t ncreasing Increasing Declining	Overall Trend Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your or an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra The subject's market area has been stable If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project	project , complete the follo Prior 7–12 Months	ur conclusions, provide bot The above data sup wing: Prior 4–6 Months	th an explanation and support poports the data on the project N Current – 3 Months	lame:	page of t page of t ncreasing Increasing Declining	Overall Trend Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your or an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra The subject's market area has been stable If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties.	project , complete the follo Prior 7–12 Months ? Yes N	ur conclusions, provide bot The above data sup wing: Prior 4–6 Months o If yes, indicate the nu	th an explanation and support poports the data on the project N Current – 3 Months	lame:	page of t page of t ncreasing Increasing Declining	Overall Trend Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your or an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra The subject's market area has been stable If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project	project , complete the follo Prior 7–12 Months ? Yes N	ur conclusions, provide bot The above data sup wing: Prior 4–6 Months o If yes, indicate the nu	th an explanation and support poports the data on the project N Current – 3 Months	lame:	page of t page of t ncreasing Increasing Declining	Overall Trend Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your or an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra The subject's market area has been stable If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties.	project , complete the follo Prior 7–12 Months ? Yes N	ur conclusions, provide bot The above data sup wing: Prior 4–6 Months o If yes, indicate the nu	th an explanation and support poports the data on the project N Current – 3 Months	lame:	page of t page of t ncreasing Increasing Declining	Overall Trend Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your or an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra The subject's market area has been stable If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties.	project , complete the follo Prior 7–12 Months ?Yes N the subject unit and project	ur conclusions, provide bot The above data sup wing: Prior 4–6 Months o If yes, indicate the nu tt.	th an explanation and support poports the data on the project N Current – 3 Months	lame:	page of t page of t ncreasing Increasing Declining	Overall Trend Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your or an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra The subject's market area has been stable If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties.	project , complete the follo Prior 7–12 Months ?Yes N the subject unit and project	ur conclusions, provide bot The above data sup wing: Prior 4–6 Months o If yes, indicate the nu tt.	th an explanation and support poports the data on the project N Current – 3 Months	lame:	page of t page of t ncreasing Increasing Declining	Overall Trend Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your or an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra The subject's market area has been stable If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties.	project , complete the follo Prior 7–12 Months ?Yes N the subject unit and project	ur conclusions, provide bot The above data sup wing: Prior 4–6 Months o If yes, indicate the nu tt.	th an explanation and support poports the data on the project N Current – 3 Months	lame:	page of t page of t ncreasing Increasing Declining	Overall Trend Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your or an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra The subject's market area has been stable If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties.	project , complete the follo Prior 7–12 Months ?Yes N the subject unit and project	ur conclusions, provide bot The above data sup wing: Prior 4–6 Months o If yes, indicate the nu tt.	th an explanation and support poports the data on the project N Current – 3 Months	lame:	page of t page of t ncreasing Increasing Declining	Overall Trend Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your or an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra The subject's market area has been stable If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties.	project , complete the follo Prior 7–12 Months ?Yes N the subject unit and project	ur conclusions, provide bot The above data su wing: Prior 4–6 Months o If yes, indicate the nu st. 35A8	th an explanation and support poports the data on the project N Current – 3 Months	lame:	page of t page of t ncreasing Increasing Declining	Overall Trend Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your or an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra The subject's market area has been stable If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties.	project , complete the follo Prior 7–12 Months ?Yes N the subject unit and project	ving: Prior 4–6 Months o If yes, indicate the nu tt. Signature	th an explanation and support poports the data on the Project N Current – 3 Months imber of REO listings and exp	lame:	page of t page of t ncreasing Increasing Declining	Overall Trend Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your or an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra The subject's market area has been stable If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties.	project , complete the follo Prior 7–12 Months ?Yes N the subject unit and project	ving: Prior 4–6 Months o If yes, indicate the nu tt. Signature	th an explanation and support poports the data on the Project N Current – 3 Months umber of REO listings and exp subser of REO listings and exp	lame:	page of t page of t ncreasing Increasing Declining	Overall Trend Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your or an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra The subject's market area has been stable If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties. Summarize the above trends and address the impact on Summarize the above trends and address the impact on Signature Appraiser Name Company Name Homm Lean Appraisal Company Address 3111 Edgewood Dr, Pe	project , complete the follo project , complete the follo Prior 7–12 Months ? Yes N the subject unit and project Prior Serial:2/6/At	ur conclusions, provide bot The above data sup wing: Prior 4–6 Months o If yes, indicate the nu o If yes, indicate the nu st. Signature Supervisory A Company Na Company Ad	th an explanation and support poports the data on the Project N Current – 3 Months Imber of REO listings and exp mber of REO listings and exp Appraiser Name me dress	lame:	page of t page of t ncreasing Increasing Declining	Overall Trend Overall Trend Stable St	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your or an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra The subject's market area has been stable If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties. Summarize the above trends and address the impact on Summarize the above trends and address the impact on Signature Appraiser Name Company Name Home Lean Appraisal	project , complete the follo project , complete the follo Prior 7–12 Months ? Yes N the subject unit and project Prior Serial:2/6/At	ur conclusions, provide bot The above data sup wing: Prior 4–6 Months o If yes, indicate the nu o If yes, indicate the nu st. Signature Supervisory A Company Na Company Ad	th an explanation and support poports the data on the Project N Current – 3 Months Imber of REO listings and exp Imber of REO listings and exp Appraiser Name me	lame:	page of t page of t ncreasing Increasing Declining	Overall Trend Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your or an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra The subject's market area has been stable If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties. Summarize the above trends and address the impact on Summarize the above trends and address the impact on Signature Appraiser Name Company Mare Appraiser Name Company Address Signature Appraiser Name Company Address Signature	project , complete the follo Prior 7–12 Months Prior 7–12 Months Prior	ur conclusions, provide bot The above data sup wing: Prior 4–6 Months o If yes, indicate the nu o If yes, indicate the nu st. Signature Supervisory A Company Na Company Ad	th an explanation and support poports the data on the Project N Current – 3 Months Imber of REO listings and exp imber of REO listings and exp Appraiser Name me dress //Certification #	lame:	page of t page of t ncreasing Increasing Declining	Overall Trend Overall Trend Stable St	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing

Serial# 2A6AB5A8 esign.alamode.com/verify

UNIFORM APPRAISAL DATASET (UAD) DEFINITIONS ADDENDUM

(Source: Fannie Mae UAD Appendix D: UAD Field-Specific Standardization Requirements)

Condition Ratings and Definitions

C1

The improvements have been very recently constructed and have not previously been occupied. The entire structure and all components are new and the dwelling features no physical depreciation.*

*Note: Newly constructed improvements that feature recycled materials and/or components can be considered new dwellings provided that the dwelling is placed on a 100% new foundation and the recycled materials and the recycled components have been rehabilitated/re-manufactured into like-new condition. Recently constructed improvements that have not been previously occupied are not considered "new" if they have any significant physical depreciation (i.e., newly constructed dwellings that have been vacant for an extended period of time without adequate maintenance or upkeep).

C2

The improvements feature no deferred maintenance, little or no physical depreciation, and require no repairs. Virtually all building components are new or have been recently repaired, refinished, or rehabilitated. All outdated components and finishes have been updated and/or replaced with components that meet current standards. Dwellings in this category either are almost new or have been recently completely renovated and are similar in condition to new construction.

СЗ

The improvements are well maintained and feature limited physical depreciation due to normal wear and tear. Some components, but not every major building component, may be updated or recently rehabilitated. The structure has been well maintained.

C4

The improvements feature some minor deferred maintenance and physical deterioration due to normal wear and tear. The dwelling has been adequately maintained and requires only minimal repairs to building components/mechanical systems and cosmetic repairs. All major building components have been adequately maintained and are functionally adequate.

C5

The improvements feature obvious deferred maintenance and are in need of some significant repairs. Some building components need repairs, rehabilitation, or updating. The functional utility and overall livability is somewhat diminished due to condition, but the dwelling remains useable and functional as a residence.

C6

The improvements have substantial damage or deferred maintenance with deficiencies or defects that are severe enough to affect the safety, soundness, or structural integrity of the improvements. The improvements are in need of substantial repairs and rehabilitation, including many or most major components.

Quality Ratings and Definitions

Q1

Dwellings with this quality rating are usually unique structures that are individually designed by an architect for a specified user. Such residences typically are constructed from detailed architectural plans and specifications and feature an exceptionally high level of workmanship and exceptionally high-grade materials throughout the interior and exterior of the structure. The design features exceptionally high-quality exterior refinements and ornamentation, and exceptionally high-quality interior refinements. The workmanship, materials, and finishes throughout the dwelling are of exceptionally high quality.

Q2

Dwellings with this quality rating are often custom designed for construction on an individual property owner's site. However, dwellings in this quality grade are also found in high-quality tract developments featuring residence constructed from individual plans or from highly modified or upgraded plans. The design features detailed, high quality exterior ornamentation, high-quality interior refinements, and detail. The workmanship, materials, and finishes throughout the dwelling are generally of high or very high quality.

Q3

Dwellings with this quality rating are residences of higher quality built from individual or readily available designer plans in above-standard residential tract developments or on an individual property owner's site. The design includes significant exterior ornamentation and interiors that are well finished. The workmanship exceeds acceptable standards and many materials and finishes throughout the dwelling have been upgraded from "stock" standards.

Q4

Dwellings with this quality rating meet or exceed the requirements of applicable building codes. Standard or modified standard building plans are utilized and the design includes adequate fenestration and some exterior ornamentation and interior refinements. Materials, workmanship, finish, and equipment are of stock or builder grade and may feature some upgrades.

UNIFORM APPRAISAL DATASET (UAD) DEFINITIONS ADDENDUM

(Source: Fannie Mae UAD Appendix D: UAD Field-Specific Standardization Requirements)

Quality Ratings and Definitions (continued)

Q5

Dwellings with this quality rating feature economy of construction and basic functionality as main considerations. Such dwellings feature a plain design using readily available or basic floor plans featuring minimal fenestration and basic finishes with minimal exterior ornamentation and limited interior detail. These dwellings meet minimum building codes and are constructed with inexpensive, stock materials with limited refinements and upgrades.

Q6

Dwellings with this quality rating are of basic quality and lower cost; some may not be suitable for year-round occupancy. Such dwellings are often built with simple plans or without plans, often utilizing the lowest quality building materials. Such dwellings are often built or expanded by persons who are professionally unskilled or possess only minimal construction skills. Electrical, plumbing, and other mechanical systems and equipment may be minimal or non-existent. Older dwellings may feature one or more substandard or non-conforming additions to the original structure

Definitions of Not Updated, Updated, and Remodeled

Not Updated

Little or no updating or modernization. This description includes, but is not limited to, new homes. Residential properties of fifteen years of age or less often reflect an original condition with no updating, if no major components have been replaced or updated. Those over fifteen years of age are also considered not updated if the appliances, fixtures, and finishes are predominantly dated. An area that is 'Not Updated' may still be well maintained and fully functional, and this rating does not necessarily imply deferred maintenance or physical/functional deterioration.

Updated

The area of the home has been modified to meet current market expectations. These modifications are limited in terms of both scope and cost.

An updated area of the home should have an improved look and feel, or functional utility. Changes that constitute updates include refurbishment and/or replacing components to meet existing market expectations. Updates do not include significant alterations to the existing structure.

Remodeled

Significant finish and/or structural changes have been made that increase utility and appeal through complete replacement and/or expansion.

A remodeled area reflects fundamental changes that include multiple alterations. These alterations may include some or all of the following: replacement of a major component (cabinet(s), bathtub, or bathroom tile), relocation of plumbing/gas fixtures/appliances, significant structural alterations (relocating walls, and/or the addition of) square footage). This would include a complete gutting and rebuild.

Explanation of Bathroom Count

Three-quarter baths are counted as a full bath in all cases. Quarter baths (baths that feature only a toilet) are not included in the bathroom count. The number of full and half baths is reported by separating the two values using a period, where the full bath count is represented to the left of the period and the half bath count is represented to the right of the period.

Example:

3.2 indicates three full baths and two half baths.



UNIFORM APPRAISAL DATASET (UAD) DEFINITIONS ADDENDUM (Source: Fannie Mae UAD Appendix D: UAD Field-Specific Standardization Requirements)

Abbreviations Used in Data Standardization Text

Abbreviation	Full Name	Fields Where This Abbreviation May Appear
ac	Acres	Area, Site
AdjPrk	Adjacent to Park	Location
AdjPwr	Adjacent to Power Lines	Location
A	Adverse	Location & View
ArmLth	Arms Length Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
ba	Bathroom(s)	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
br	Bedroom	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
B	Beneficial	Location & View
Cash	Cash	Sale or Financing Concessions
CtySky	City View Skyline View	View
CtyStr	City Street View	View
Comm	Commercial Influence	Location
C	Contracted Date	Date of Sale/Time
Conv	Conventional	Sale or Financing Concessions
CrtOrd	Court Ordered Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
DOM	Days On Market	Data Sources
e	Expiration Date	Date of Sale/Time
Estate	Estate Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
FHA	Federal Housing Authority	Sale or Financing Concessions
GlfCse	Golf Course	Location
Glfvw	Golf Course View	View
Ind	Industrial	Location & View
		Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
in Ladi	Interior Only Stairs	
Lndfl	Landfill	
LtdSght	Limited Sight	View
Listing	Listing	Sale or Financing Concessions
Mtn N	Mountain View	View
	Neutral	Location & View
NonArm	Non-Arms Length Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
BsyRd	Busy Road	Location
0	Other	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
Prk	Park View	View
Pstrl	Pastoral View	View
PwrLn	Power Lines	View
PubTrn	Public Transportation	Location
rr	Recreational (Rec) Room	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
Relo	Relocation Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
REO	REO Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
Res	Residential	Location & View
RH	USDA - Rural Housing	Sale or Financing Concessions
S	Settlement Date	Date of Sale/Time
Short	Short Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
sf	Square Feet	Area, Site, Basement
sqm	Square Meters	Area, Site
Unk	Unknown	Date of Sale/Time
VA	Veterans Administration	Sale or Financing Concessions
W	Withdrawn Date	Date of Sale/Time
WO	Walk Out Basement	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
wu	Walk Up Basement	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
WtrFr	Water Frontage	Location
Wtr	Water View	View
Woods	Woods View	View

Other Appraiser-Defined Abbreviations

Abbreviation	Full Name	Fields Where This Abbreviation May Appear

Serial# 2A6AB5A8 esign.alamode.com/verify

UAD Version 9/2011 Form UADDEFINE - "TOTAL" appraisal software by a la mode, inc. - 1-800-ALAMODE

Supplemental Addendum

Borrower	Freeman SR, Nathaniel				
Property Address	1039 Lakeland Cir				
City	Rosharon	County Brazoria	State TX	Zip Code 77583	
Lender/Client	Homewood Mortgage				

Clarification of Assumptions, Limiting Conditions, Certifications and Scope of Work

The following is noted in order to define terms as they are used in the appraisal report. This is not intended to be a modification of the certification, but a clarification, which is required to be in compliance with USPAP.

The URAR appraisal form was used to report the results of my (our) appraisal. The scope of work explanations discussed here and within the body of the report, reference by number and page, the Scope of Work, Assumptions and Limiting Conditions and Certifications as shown on pages 4-6 of the FNMA Form 1004/Freddie Mac Form 70 to further define, clarify and document what the appraiser(s) did and or did not do in order to develop the appraisal and report the value opinion, based on the complexity of this appraisal assignment and or as a result of a supplementary Agreement or Engagement Letter as accepted by the appraiser(s) and client identified within the appraisal report.

If no written specific and or supplemental Scope of Work was agreed upon with the client (prior to accepting the assignment, by formal engagement letter and included in this report) the Scope of Work outlined here and within the report, is considered to be representative of what typical users of appraisal services would require and in general, what appraisers would provide as reasonable, acceptable and sufficient for the stated intended user's needs.

SCOPE OF WORK (Pg 4 & Pg 5, Cert. 2): Item (1) - it should be noted that the Appraiser(s) conducted a visual inspection of only the readily accessible areas of the property, viewing only those components of the property which were clearly visible from the ground or floor level. No tests we made of the mechanical, plumbing and electrical systems as such tests are not within the standard guidelines of FNMA or FHLMC. Comments on the condition of the foundation, roof, exterior, interior, floors, mechanical, plumbing, electrical, insulation and all other matters relating to the construction of the subject property is based on a casual observation only and which may have been limited by the placement of personal property, furnishings, etc. so as to preclude observation of the items blocked by same. There was not observation of the attic, crawl space or other areas that would not be visible by the typical visitor to the home and or components that are hidden within walls.

Although the report may cite a general rating of the adequacy and or condition (based on observation only) it should be clearly understood that these statements are a general guide for comparison purposes (as part of the valuation process) and are not a detailed report on the physical and or operational condition of these items. The appraiser(s) is not an expert in these matters and any opinion stated is advisory based only upon observation. This report is not a home inspection. While others may choose to rely on the report, they should not rely on it to disclose condition and defects. Such knowledge goes beyond the scope of this appraisal and as such, comments on observed conditions given in this report should not be taken as a guarantee that a problem does not exist.

The following chart is to assist the intended user in understanding the scope of a complete visual inspection:

Complete Visual Inspection Includes: Complete Visual Inspection Does/Did NOT Include:

List the amenities Testing or activating mechanical systems View readily observable exterior areas Activating appliances View readily observable interior areas Observation of crawl and attic areas Note quality of materials and workmanship Observation of areas not readily accessible Measure the exterior of the improvements Building Code compliance issues Observe the floor plan and room layout Moving furniture or personal property Assess the functional utility of the property Mold Assessment Note the subject's conformity to the market area. Removing (or moving) floor coverings Note style / design. Testing or inspection of the well and septic. Observe the general condition of the improvements Reporting personal property. Observe a representative sampling of closets, windows, electrical switches, and doors. Roof Condition report beyond an observation from ground level. Photograph exterior and view site around the improvements Radon Assessment Item (2,3,4 & 5) where it states "inspect the neighborhood" the observation was limited to driving through the area and a representative number of streets, reviewing maps and other appropriate data including observing the comparables from the street, to determine the general factors that may or may not influence the value of the subject property and research to the extent further defined in the sections below.

REPAIRS/DETERIORATION (Pg. 4, item 5 & Pg. 5, Cert. 2): The terms deficiency and livability have not been defined in the appraisal report. An effort was made to report ONLY those repair items that, in the appraiser's opinion, will affect safety, adequacy, and marketability of the property. Deterioration consistent with the age of the home has not been itemized.

COST APPROACH (Pg. 5, Cert. 4): The cost approach is typically utilized when improvements are new, near new or are of an unusual construction method. Additionally, the cost approach is only considered appropriate when sufficient land; building sites, etc. are available to a potential purchaser so as to make construction of improvements similar to the subject, a viable alternative to purchasing the subject. In areas where vacant sites (similar to the subject property in location, zoning, use and utility) are not available to a potential purchaser, use of the cost approach and reliability on the same as a value indicator could be misleading. In cases where the Cost Approach is not required (per USPAP) or deemed necessary to the development of a reliable value opinion, the cost approach has been excluded and such exclusion has been so stated with the body of the report. If the cost approach was used it represents the "replacement cost estimate," and is for "valuation purposes only." As such, it should not be relied upon for insurance purposes. The definition of "market value" on page 4 of this report is not consistent with the definition of "insurable value." If the cost approach was presented, a cost service such as "Marshall & Swift" (or other similar source) was used to develop the estimate. The site value opinion is based on one of several methods: extraction, allocation, the developmental method or from a review and analysis of sales of similar sites within the market area.

INCOME APPROACH (Pg. 5, Cert. 4): The income approach is typically utilized when sufficient investor owned properties exist with the subject's immediate area or neighborhood and when investors regularly acquire such properties that are similarly desirable to the subject for the express purpose of the investment income they provide. While rental properties may exist within any area, their existence alone should not be considered as evidence of a viable rental and investor marketplace. As such, in areas dominated by "owner occupied" units, it may not be appropriate to present or employ the income approach, unless the approach clearly represents the motivations and actions of investors in the marketplace as it relates to the subject property and immediate area. If the approach is included within the report, it was because sufficient data was found to support conclusions by the appraiser(s) that it was appropriate and meaningful to the analysis and value opinion. If the approach was not included, it was the appraiser's opinion that the data was insufficient to provide a useful and meaningful conclusion.

EXTENT OF DATA RESEARCH – SALES/LISTINGS (Pg. 5, Cert. 5 thru 9): Sales and listings of the subject property and comparables have been researched, verified, analyzed, and reported in compliance with Certifications 5 thru 9 of this URAR. Sales data (including listed, closed, pending and expired listings) of properties that are geographically, physically, functionally and economically similar to the subject property and that are sufficiently recent to reflect current buyer and seller actions were researched and considered. If necessary and applicable, the appraiser(s) also researched data on comparable land and improved sales, income and expense information and construction costs; confirmed comparable sales information (as noted under "EXTENT OF INFORMATION VERIFICATION;" (see next section) and analyzed the information in applying the



Supplemental Addendum

DUITUWEI	Freeman SK, Nathaniei						
Property Address	1039 Lakeland Cir						
City	Rosharon	County Brazoria	State	ТΧ	Zip Code	77583	
Lender/Client	Homewood Mortgage						

(es) used.

Freeman SD Nethanial

Depending upon the availability and reliability of various data sources, the appraiser(s) used any combination of reasonably available information from city/county records, real estate agents, owner's comments, buyer's description, assessor's records, multiple listing service (MLS) data, brochures, web site listings and visual observation to identify the relevant characteristics of the subject property. Comparables were selected based on physical, functional, economic and location characteristics with the sales cited in the report considered to be most relevant to the analysis of subject property. These sales were adjusted to the subject to reflect the market's reaction to differences (if any).

EXTENT OF INFORMATION VERIFICATION (Pg. 5, Cert. 10 & 13): Representative samples of disinterested sources for information and data verification include but are not limited to County/City online records – Recorder, Treasurer, Zoning, GIS, Online Assessor Property Databases – Sales, Property Characteristics, Personal observation – Condition, Location, Physical attributes, Real Estate Transaction Declaration documents – Sale date, Personal property. In addition, the subject's market area was examined to determine the demand for and marketability of the property within the subject's classification. When and where possible, the applicable information was verified with sources deemed to be reliable and from a disinterested party or corroborated with a 3rd party source. In some cases, the motivations of the parties and other factors (terms, arms-length transactions, etc.) may not have been available and the data was used at "face value as factually accurate." The appraiser(s) was not supplied with a survey of the subject site and did not check the land records for recorded easements and encroachments. Unless otherwise stated within the report, no effort was made to ascertain whether the subject is located within the appropriate setbacks, as dictated by zoning, building or other regulations.

PUBLIC / PRIVATE DATA SOURCES (Pg. 5, Cert. 12): My (our) appraisal practice is limited to (Houston MSA). I have access to public data; the local Multiple Listing Service; a nationally recognized cost estimation service; FNMA flood data and maps; and private information contained within my office files considered necessary and appropriate for this assignment.

ADVERSE FACTORS (Pg 4 item 5 & Pg. 5, Cert. 14): As cited in the "Assumptions & Limiting Conditions," is subjective and subject to broad interpretation. Many properties will have some form of physical depreciation, deficiency or livability issues, dependent upon the motivations and standards of the party observing the property. A property may also be impacted to varying degrees by a wide-range of factors internal or external to the property that could be considered "adverse" by someone. Absent detailed written directives and specific guidelines from the lender/client, the appraiser(s) made a visual inspection of the property and its market environment (as cited elsewhere in the Scope of Work) and noted factors that may impact the marketability to potential buyers based upon the appraiser's knowledge of the market and or as evidenced by sales of properties with similar or comparable conditions. Such items noted in the report were considered within the valuation approaches that were applied to the analysis.

While some in the market may consider factors such as drug labs, registered sex offenders, criminal activity, interim rehabilitation facilities halfway houses or similar uses as "adverse," unless cited within the report, the appraiser(s) has made no attempt to investigate or discover such activities as part of this assignment, unless such factors were readily apparent and obviously impacting the subject property as evidenced by market data. If the intended user has concerns in these areas, it is highly recommended that they secure this information from a reliable source.

DISCLOSURE/DISTRIBUTION (Pg. 6, Cert. 21 & Cert. 23): Regardless of who paid for this assignment, the intended user is only the lender/client stated within the report. The appraisal and report may be inappropriate for use by parties other than the intended user and could place them at risk. Despite the means of possession of the report, this appraisal should not be used or relied on by anyone other than the stated intended user and for the stated/intended purpose. Certification 23 – Per FNMA - "The Intended User of this appraisal report is the Lender/Client. The Intended Use is to evaluate the property that is the subject of this appraisal for a mortgage finance transaction, subject to the stated Scope of Work, purpose of the appraisal, reporting requirements of this appraisal report form, and Definition of Market Value. No additional Intended Users are identified by the appraiser."

THE VALUE OPINION: The value opinion stated in the report is based on my (our) analysis as of the effective date shown in the report. The value opinion considers the productivity, economic and physical conditions of the property only as of the date of value cited. As market conditions change, this value opinion may not be valid in another time period. Personal property such as furnishings, equipment or other items that may be included with a sale or transfer of the property were excluded from the value unless such items are necessary for the operations of the property (garage door remotes, pool remotes, etc) and would normally be a part of the mechanical or operational equipment that is considered realty.



Subject Photo Page

Borrower	Freeman SR, Nathaniel							
Property Address	1039 Lakeland Cir							
City	Rosharon	County	Brazoria	State	ΤХ	Zip Code	77583	
Lender/Client	Homewood Mortgage							



Subject Front 1039 Lakeland Cir Sales Price 4,079 G.L.A. Tot. Rooms 10 Tot. Bedrms. 4 Tot. Bathrms. 4.1 Location N;Res;Res N;Res;Res View Site 1.00 ac Quality Q3 Age 0





Form PIC4x6.SR - "TOTAL" appraisal software by a la mode, inc. - 1-800-ALAMODE

Subject Rear

Subject Street



Freeman SR, Nathaniel Borrower Property Address 1039 Lakeland Cir County Brazoria State TX Zip Code 77583 City Rosharon Lender/Client Homewood Mortgage **Subject Kitchen** N. 1039 Lakeland Cir Sales Price G.L.A. 4,079 Tot. Rooms 10 Tot. Bedrms. 4 Tot. Bathrms. 4.1 Location N;Res;Res N;Res;Res View 1.00 ac Site Quality Q3 0 Age ╧╜╤ **Subject Family Room Subject Dining**



Form PIC4x6.SI - "TOTAL" appraisal software by a la mode, inc. - 1-800-ALAMODE

Borrower	Freeman SR, Nathaniel
Property Address	1039 Lakeland Cir
City	Rosharon
Lender/Client	Homewood Mortgage

County Brazoria



Subject Breakfast 1039 Lakeland Cir Sales Price G.L.A. 4,079 Tot. Rooms 10 Tot. Bedrms. 4 Tot. Bathrms. 4.1 Location N;Res;Res

View

Site Quality

Age

N;Res;Res

1.00 ac

Q3

0

Zip Code 77583

State TX

and the second	Provent in the

Subject Gameroom

Subject Media







Form PIC4x6.SI - "TOTAL" appraisal software by a la mode, inc. - 1-800-ALAMODE

Serial# 2A6AB5A8 esign.alamode.com/verify







Form PIC4x6.SI - "TOTAL" appraisal software by a la mode, inc. - 1-800-ALAMODE

Subject Bathroom

Subject Bathroom



Borrower	Freeman SR, Nathaniel
Property Address	1039 Lakeland Cir
City	Rosharon
Lender/Client	Homewood Mortgage

County Brazoria

State TX Zip Code 77583



Subje	ct Bathroom						
1039 Lakeland Cir							
Sales Price							
G.L.A.	4,079						
Tot. Rooms	10						
Tot. Bedrms.	4						
Tot. Bathrms.	4.1						
Location	N;Res;Res						
View	N;Res;Res						
Site	1.00 ac						
Quality	Q3						
Age	0						

Subject Bathroom





Form PIC4x6.SI - "TOTAL" appraisal software by a la mode, inc. - 1-800-ALAMODE

Subject Attic



EAR		XAVE			Subject Gara
Lender/Client	Homewood Mortgage				
City	Rosharon	County Brazoria	State	ТΧ	Zip Code 77583
Property Address	1039 Lakeland Cir				
Borrower	Freeman SR, Nathaniel				



Subj	ect Garage
1039 Lakel	and Cir
Sales Price	
G.L.A.	4,079
Tot. Rooms	10
Tot. Bedrms.	4
Tot. Bathrms.	4.1
Location	N;Res;Res
View	N;Res;Res
Site	1.00 ac
Quality	Q3
Age	0

Subject Side





Form PIC4x6.SI - "TOTAL" appraisal software by a la mode, inc. - 1-800-ALAMODE





Subject Photo Page

Borrower	Freeman SR, Nathaniel							
Property Address	1039 Lakeland Cir							
City	Rosharon	County	Brazoria	State	ТΧ	Zip Code	77583	
Lender/Client	Homewood Mortgage							



Subject Closer Front 1039 Lakeland Cir Sales Price 4,079 G.L.A. Tot. Rooms 10 Tot. Bedrms. 4 Tot. Bathrms. 4.1 Location N;Res;Res N;Res;Res View Site 1.00 ac Quality Q3 Age 0



Subject Street



Comparable Photo Page

 Borrower
 Freeman SR, Nathaniel

 Property Address
 1039 Lakeland Cir

 City
 Rosharon

 Lender/Client
 Homewood Mortgage

County Brazoria

State TX Zip Code 77583



Comp	parable 1
17102 Ham	ill Dr
Prox. to Subj.	2.15 miles NW
Sales Price	464,900
G.L.A.	4,333
Tot. Rooms	10
Tot. Bedrms.	4
Tot. Bathrms.	4.0
Location	N;Res;Res
View	N;Res;Res
Site	2.00 ac
Quality	Q3
Age	12



Comparable 2

7619 Nottaway Ct						
Prox. to Subj.	8.16 miles E					
Sales Price	399,990					
G.L.A.	3,024					
Tot. Rooms	10					
Tot. Bedrms.	4					
Tot. Bathrms.	3.0					
Location	N;Res;Res					
View	N;Res;Res					
Site	1.77 ac					
Quality	Q3					
Age	2					



811 W FM	1462
Prox. to Subj.	2.80 miles NW
Sales Price	469,000
G.L.A.	3,727
Tot. Rooms	10
Tot. Bedrms.	4
Tot. Bathrms.	3.1
Location	N;Res;Res
View	N;Res;Res
Site	5.00 ac
Quality	Q3
Age	14





Form PIC4x6.CR - "TOTAL" appraisal software by a la mode, inc. - 1-800-ALAMODE

Comparable Photo Page

City Lender/Client	Rosharon Homewood Mortgage	County Brazoria			77583 arable
			471	0 Magno	Dia Bend 7.15 mile



e 4 d Dr les NE Sales Price 410,000 G.L.A. 3,753 Tot. Rooms 10 Tot. Bedrms. 4 Tot. Bathrms. 3.1 Location N;Res;Res View N;Res;Res Site 1.00 ac Quality Q3 Age 9





-	
726 Coman	iche Trail Dr
Prox. to Subj.	1.26 miles NW
Sales Price	425,500
G.L.A.	3,784
Tot. Rooms	10
Tot. Bedrms.	4
Tot. Bathrms.	3.1
Location	N;Res;Res
View	N;Res;Res
Site	2.00 ac
Quality	Q3
Age	13



Comparable 6

5002 Magn	olia Bend Dr
Prox. to Subj.	7.28 miles NE
Sales Price	356,000
G.L.A.	3,140
Tot. Rooms	10
Tot. Bedrms.	5
Tot. Bathrms.	3.1
Location	N;Res;Res
View	N;Res;Res
Site	1.00 ac
Quality	Q3
Age	4





Comparable Photo Page

Borrower	Freeman SR, Nathaniel				
Property Address	1039 Lakeland Cir				
City	Rosharon	County Brazoria	State TX	Zip Code	77583
Lender/Client	Homewood Mortgage				



Comparable 7 16718 Wrangler Rd Prox. to Subj. 2.38 miles NW Sales Price 498,500 4,698 G.L.A. Tot. Rooms 10 Tot. Bedrms. 4 Tot. Bathrms. 3.2 N;Res;Res Location N;Res;Res View Site 5.00 ac Quality Q3 Age 11





Comparable 8

1351 Lakela	and Cir
Prox. to Subj.	0.20 miles E
Sales Price	499,000
G.L.A.	3,647
Tot. Rooms	10
Tot. Bedrms.	4
Tot. Bathrms.	3.1
Location	N;Res;Res
View	N;Res;Res
Site	1.00 ac
Quality	Q3
Age	10

Comparable 9

2926 Pearc	e Ln
Prox. to Subj.	4.03 miles NW
Sales Price	445,000
G.L.A.	4,107
Tot. Rooms	10
Tot. Bedrms.	4
Tot. Bathrms.	3.1
Location	N;Res;Res
View	N;Res;Res
Site	2.00 ac
Quality	Q3
Age	15



Location Map

Borrower	Freeman SR, Nathaniel							
Property Address	1039 Lakeland Cir							
City	Rosharon	County	Brazoria	State	ТΧ	Zip Code	77583	
Lender/Client	Homewood Mortgage							





Location Map

Borrower	Freeman SR, Nathaniel							
Property Address	1039 Lakeland Cir							
City	Rosharon	County	Brazoria	State	ТΧ	Zip Code	77583	
Lender/Client	Homewood Mortgage							





Flood Map

Borrower	Freeman SR, Nathaniel				
Property Address	1039 Lakeland Cir				
City	Rosharon	County Brazoria	State TX	Zip Code 77583	
Lender/Client	Homewood Mortgage				





Location Map

Borrower	Freeman SR, Nathaniel							
Property Address	1039 Lakeland Cir							
City	Rosharon	County	Brazoria	State	ΤХ	Zip Code	77583	
Lender/Client	Homewood Mortgage							





Building Sketch (Page - 1)

Borrower	Freeman SR, Nathaniel							
Property Address	1039 Lakeland Cir							
City	Rosharon	Count	ty Brazoria	Stat	e TX	Zip Code	77583	
Lender/Client	Homewood Mortgage							





Building Sketch (Page - 2)

Borrower	Freeman SR, Nathaniel				
Property Address	1039 Lakeland Cir				
City	Rosharon	County Bra	razoria State	TX Zip Co	de 77583
Lender/Client	Homewood Mortgage				

TOTAL Sketch by a la mode, inc.	Area Calculations Summary	
Living Area		Calculation Details
Living Area First Floor	2415 Sq ft	$5 \times 1 = 5$ $0.5 \times 1.5 \times 2 = 1.5$ $0.5 \times 2 \times 1.5 = 1.5$ $4 \times 2 = 8$ $6 \times 1 = 6$ $12 \times 3 = 36$ $11 \times 4 = 44$ $0.5 \times 6.5 \times 3.5 = 11.38$ $16 \times 2 = 32$ $0.5 \times 7.5 \times 3.5 = 13.12$ $0.5 \times 3 \times 3 = 4.5$ $62.5 \times 3 = 187.5$
Second Floor	1663.63 Sq ft	$\begin{array}{rcrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$
		$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$
Total Living Area (Rounded):	4079 Sq ft	
Non-living Area 3 Car Attached	754.25 Sq ft	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$
Open Porch	85 Sq ft	17 × 5 = 85



plat	Мар
------	-----

Borrower	Freeman SR, Nathaniel							
Property Address	1039 Lakeland Cir							
City	Rosharon	County	Brazoria	State	ТΧ	Zip Code	77583	
Lender/Client	Homewood Mortgage							

Brazoria CAD Map Search

Page 1



https://propaccess.trueautomation.com/mapSearch/propertyPrint.html?cid=51&p=568738

5/9/2



Appraisal Independence Requirements

Borrower	Freeman SR, Nathaniel							
Property Address	1039 Lakeland Cir							
City	Rosharon	County	Brazoria	State	ТΧ	Zip Code	77583	
Lender/Client	Homewood Mortgage							

Appraiser Independence Requirements (AIR) Affidavit of Appraiser - Certification of Non-Influence

The appraiser named respectfully submits and represents to the following:

I hereby acknowledge to the best of my ability the following:

1. There have been no written or verbal communications or conversations between the mortgage lender or any staff person thereof and myself, my assistant, or any other staff member working on my behalf during the completion of this particular assignment or review assignment regarding a predetermined value for the subject property of this assignment.

2. I acknowledge that I have not been influenced, coerced, extorted, or bribed regarding the outcome of this appraisal report, nor am I knowingly aware of being recommended by any staff member, director, or agent of the mortgage lender's loan production staff, including the loan officer, to complete this assignment.

3. I certify that I have been engaged to provide a complete order with the information required for me to agree and complete a full appraisal assignment that meets USPAP guidelines and standards. Included on their order form was the originating lender's company name and address for purposes of inclusion on the appraisal report. No individual names from lender's staff, including loan officer name, was provided to me. No preliminary estimation of value, loan amount, or any similar information was provided to me or communicated to me or any staff person within my company. With respect to a Purchase Transaction, the Purchase Agreement (Sales Contract) was made available in its entirety (as required by USPAP Standard Rule 1- 5a). In the event the loan is an FHA transaction, I understand that the lender may require my identity, including my State Certification number; however, no attempt was made to coerce or influence the outcome of this appraisal report.

4. I acknowledge that I have completed this assignment and have only acted with the highest integrity and in a manner considered ethical to my profession, and consistent both with USPAP standards and the Appraiser Independence Requirements rules and regulations.

5. I acknowledge that I am not an employee of nor affiliated with the mortgage lender, and that I am not a staff appraiser to any entity that is either wholly or partially owned by the lender/investor or by any entity that is owned in whole or in part by a "Settlement Services" provider. By including this document within this appraisal report, I acknowledge to the best of my ability that all of the above statements are valid and true, I have honestly agreed with them, and that I have no objections or reservations to their contrary.



E/O Insurance

Borrower	Freeman SR, Nathaniel
Property Address	1039 Lakeland Cir
City	Rosharon
Lender/Client	Homewood Mortgage

County Brazoria

	General Star National Insurance Comp. P O Box 10360 (Attn: GSN Stamford, Connecticut 0690
	REAL ESTATE APPRAISERS ERRORS & OMISSIONS INSURANCE POLICY
	DECLARATIONS PAGE
-	This is a claims made and reported policy. Please read this policy and all endorsements and attachments careful
I	Policy Number: NJA859236E Renewal of Number: NJA859236D
;	1. NAMED INSURED: Robert Dieudonne STREET ADDRESS: 3111 Edgewood Drive Pearland, TX 77584
:	2. POLICY PERIOD: Inception Date: 06/01/2017 Expiration Date: 06/01/2018 Effective 12:01 a.m. Standard Time at the address of the Named Insured.
	3. LIMITS OF LIABILITY: Each Claim: \$1,000,000 Aggregate: \$2,000,000 Claim Expenses have a separate Limit of Liability: Each Claim: \$1,000,000 Aggregate: \$2,000,000
	4. DEDUCTIBLE: Each Claim: \$0 Aggregate: \$0
ł	 RETROACTIVE DATE: 06/01/2010 If a date is indicated, this policy will not provide coverage for any Claim arising out of any act, error, omission or personal injury which occurred before such date.
(6. ANNUAL PREMIUM: \$690.00
	TOTAL Premium and Taxes/Surcharge : \$690.00 7. ENDORSEMENTS: This policy is made and accepted subject to the printed policy form together with the following form(s) or endorsement(s). AP 00 0001 (06/11), AP 04 0001 (06/11), AP 04 0003 (07/14), AP 04 0004 (07/14), AP 21 0002 (06/11). AP 27 0004 (06/11), SGN 90 0001 (07/10), AP 01 0038TX (06/11), AP 08 0044TX (06/11), AP 27 0006 (10/16)
;	8. PRODUCER NAME: Mercer Consumer STREET ADDRESS: P. O. Box 8146 Des Moines, IA 50306-8146
	Jurthy Rulin
	Authorized Representative



Appraiser License

Borrower	Freeman SR, Nathaniel							
Property Address	1039 Lakeland Cir							
City	Rosharon	County	Brazoria	State	ΤХ	Zip Code	77583	
Lender/Client	Homewood Mortgage							

Texa	s Appraiser Licens P.O. Box 12188 A Certified Residenti	ustin, Texas 787	11-2188
Number:	TX 1337316 R		
Issued:	10/03/2017	Expires:	09/30/2019
Appraiser:	ROBERT L DIEUD	ONNE	
		AN AN	
	Rev and		/0//

