

TED B. HARP JR.
ENGINEERING & SURVEYING
P. O. BOX 12548
BEAUMONT, TX 77726

(409) 893-2119 MOBILE

(409) 924-8079 FAX

6 September 2023

Subash Behara
8 Howell Court
Beaumont, Texas

REFERENCE: FOUNDATION INSPECTION

Proceeding upon your request, I made a visual inspection of the site. Following in this report are my findings, discussion, conclusions, recommendations, and maintenance considerations where applicable.

SCOPE OF INSPECTION

To perform a visual inspection of the site's foundation, list my findings, provide discussion, draw conclusions, and make recommendations for repairs (if needed).

SITE DESCRIPTION

The residence is a one-story of brick, exterior siding, and frame construction and has an ordinary slab on grade. The residence is approximately twenty (20) years old.

FINDINGS

The following are structural and non-structural items I observed during my inspection. It is my opinion that these items may contribute to, indicate existing, and/or lead to future foundation related problems. There has been an addition to the left rear of the residence for living space.

1. Brick cracks were observed along the right side of the residence.
2. A fascia board separation was observed at the right front.
3. The residence was observed to be out of level along the right.

DISCUSSION

Sometimes these items can exist on a minimal basis, showing foundation flexure without failure. Generally, the more severely damaged the foundation is, the more likely these items are noticeable and easily seen.

During the year, seasonal changes occur. Southeast Texas is home to a particular type of soil that is greatly affected by moisture content. This soil's volume shrinks during dry conditions and swells during wet conditions. This soil's volume change generates pressures that will move the foundation with it. The exposure of the foundation, location of trees and their type, and other unseen variables will greatly contribute to the rate that the soil dries and changes in volume. These differences in shrinking and swelling around the foundation create differential movement that will flex the foundation.

TED B. HARP JR.
ENGINEERING & SURVEYING
P. O. BOX 12548
BEAUMONT, TX 77726

(409) 893-2119 MOBILE

(409) 924-8079 FAX

By controlling the moisture content of the soil of the foundation, it is possible to limit the degree of differential change and therefore limiting the flexure. How many times the foundation can flex is dependent on its strength, construction quality and other unknown variables. Foundations of considerable age have more opportunities for these changes and therefore a higher probability for foundation problems or failures. A well-maintained foundation can withstand all of these problems and provide long use.

CONCLUSIONS

Based upon the visual inspection and indicators listed above, I have come to the following conclusions. The foundation has experienced foundation movement in the past, in my opinion, enough to fail the foundation in one or more locations.

RECOMMENDATIONS

The best course of action is to stabilize that portion of the foundation that has failed and/or is experiencing movement. A failed foundation is more easily susceptible to movement and further damage. The solution to the problem is to install pressed piers, drilled shafts, or bell footings around the affected area for additional support. These supports are installed at a depth below grade where the soil moisture content, generally, is unchanged. In my opinion, this will stabilize the foundation with little or no seasonal movement. Furthermore, once a foundation has failed, it can only be supported to an acceptable level.

It is my opinion that 7 pressed piers, drilled shafts, or bell footings are required around the affected portion of the foundation. They are more fully described in the attached sketch.

Maintenance of a foundation is a sensible method of minimizing foundation movement due to shrinking and swelling soils. According to the best information available, these maintenance considerations will aid in controlling the soil's moisture content and minimizing differential movement. However, these suggestions do not guarantee or provide a warranty against future foundation-related problems.

MAINTENANCE CONSIDERATIONS

By following these suggestions as well as using good sense it is possible to maintain a foundation for extended use.

- ◆ Good and uniform drainage around the foundation should be supplied. This will aid in controlling the rate the soil dries. However, do not let the soil completely dry out. The soil should remain moist just below the surface. Uniform drying limits differential movement therefore limiting foundation flexure. Protect standing water at any location around the foundation.
- ◆ Do not plant trees too close to the perimeter of the foundation, especially ones that will grow large. Oak trees especially should not be planted close to foundations. Generally, the roots will extend out as far as the limbs. If trees are too close to the foundation, their roots will grow under and sometimes through the foundation. The roots will pull moisture from

TED B. HARP JR.
ENGINEERING & SURVEYING
P. O. BOX 12548
BEAUMONT, TX 77726

(409) 893-2119 MOBILE

(409) 924-8079 FAX

beneath the foundation, changing the soil's volume and creating differential movement. Trees have been known to destroy foundations. Root barriers are available to aid in protecting the foundation. If an existing tree is already too close, its removal would greatly increase the chances against future foundation related problems.

- ◆ Exposure also plays a role in foundation related problems. There are many variables that can contribute to non-uniform drying and ultimately differential movement. A few variables that one can be aware of are shading from trees, direct sunlight, patio paving, driveways, landscaping, and downspouts.

LIMITATIONS

This report and opinions cover existing conditions as observed during a site visit. No responsibility is taken for unseen defects. This report is not a guarantee or warranty of the foundation, its design, or soil conditions. No person outside this office had any influence on opinions expressed during this report and our maximum liability is limited to the fee paid. Contact our office if these limitations are not acceptable.

I appreciate the opportunity to be of service to you. If any questions arise, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

Ted B. Harp Jr., P.E., RPLS



9/16/23

TED B. HARP JR.
ENGINEERING & SURVEYING
P. O. BOX 12548
BEAUMONT, TX 77726

(409) 893-2119 CELL
(409) 924-8079 FAX

FOOTING LOCATION PLAN

SITE ADDRESS:

**8 Howell Court
Beaumont, Texas**

