

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY U. S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS, GALVESTON DISTRICT 2000 FORT POINT ROAD GALVESTON, TEXAS 77550

October 5, 2023

Compliance Branch

SUBJECT: **SWG-2022-00674**; Houston Preferred Properties, Approved Jurisdictional Determination, 5.8-Acre Tract, 14513 Splenwood Drive, Splendora, Montgomery County, Texas

Mr. Ken Couvillion 1404-A Stonehollow Drive Kingwood, Texas 77339

Dear Mr. Couvillion:

This letter is in response to your letter, dated October 20, 2022, requesting an approved jurisdictional determination for the proposed filling of Hayden Pond. The proposed project is located at 14513 Splendwood Drive, Splendora, Montgomery County, Texas (map enclosed).

The Corps of Engineers has the regulatory responsibility over two primary federal laws, Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act (Section 10) which regulates work and/or structures in/or affecting navigable waters of the United States (U.S.) and Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (Section 404) which regulates the discharge of dredged and/or fill material into waters of the U.S., including navigable waters. If activities involved trigger either of these aforementioned federal regulations, a Department of the Army (DA) permit is required prior those activities occurring. Based on a review of federal regulations and a desk review, we concur that there is one artificial pond (Hayden Pond) totaling 1.8 acres within the 5.8-acre project area. This artificial pond is man-made and constructed wholly within uplands for the purpose of stock-watering and is determined to be non-jurisdictional and is not subject to Section 404 or Section 10. Therefore, the proposed filling of Hayden Pond does not require a Department of the Army permit.

Areas of Federal Interests (federal projects, and/or work areas) may be located within this proposed project area. Any activities in these federal interest areas would also be subject to federal regulations under the authority of Section 14 of the Rivers and Harbors Act (aka Section 408). Section 408 makes it unlawful for anyone to alter in any manner, in whole or in part, any work (ship channel, flood control channels, seawalls, bulkhead, jetty, piers, etc.) built by the United States unless it is authorized by the Corps of Engineers (i.e., Navigation and Operations Division).

The delineation and/or jurisdictional determination included herein has been conducted to identify the location and extent of the aquatic resource boundaries and/or the jurisdictional status of aquatic resources for purposes of the Clean Water Act for the particular site identified in this request. This delineation and/or jurisdictional determination may not be valid for the Wetland Conservation Provisions of the Food Security Act of 1985, as amended. If you or your tenant are USDA program participants, or anticipate participation in USDA programs, you should discuss the applicability of a certified wetland determination with the local USDA service center, prior to starting work.

This letter constitutes an AJD for your subject site. The AJD is valid for five years from the date of this letter unless new information warrants a revision prior to the expiration date. For the purposes of this AJD, we have relied on Pre-2015 Regulatory Regime implemented consistent with *Sackett v. EPA* in evaluating jurisdiction. If you object to the AJD portion determination, you may request an administrative appeal under USACE regulations at 33 CFR Part 331. You will find an enclosed Notification of Appeals Process (NAP) fact sheet and Request for Appeal (RFA) form. If you request to appeal this determination, you must submit a completed RFA form to the Southwest Division Office at the following address:

Mr. Jamie Hyslop Administrative Appeals Review Officer (CESWD-PD-O) Southwest Division (CESWD-PR-O) U.S. Army Corps of Engineers 1100 Commerce Street, Room 831 Dallas, Texas 75242-1317 Telephone: 469-216-8834 Email: Jamie.r.Hyslop@usace.army.mil

In order for an RFA to be accepted by the Corps, the Corps must determine that it is complete; that it meets the criteria for appeal under 33 CFR Part 331.5, and that it has been received by the Division Office within 60 days of the date of the NAP; noting the letter date is considered day 1. It is not necessary to submit an RFA form to the Division office if you do not object to the determination in this letter.

If you have any questions concerning this matter, please reference file number **SWG-2022-00674** and contact Ms. Kristin McKnight at the letterhead address or by

telephone at 409-766-3826. To assist us in improving our service to you, please complete the survey found at https://regulatory.ops.usace.army.mil/customer-servicesurvey and/or if you would prefer a hard copy of the survey form, please let us know, and one will be mailed to you.

Sincerely, Kana Ndu

Kara Vick Team Lead, Compliance Branch

Enclosure

SWG-2022-00674

AJD/NPR Houston Preferred Properties Splendora, Montgomery County, Texas

Google Earth Aerial Imagery

2090

Heyden Pond

Sple

Splenwood Dr

2090

Faye St.

2090

Faye St

poom

0.03575

Google Earth





houston data cabli

Half Ci

1000

Circle H

N

300 ft

1

and the



DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS, GALVESTON DISTRICT 2000 FORT POINT ROAD GALVESTON, TEXAS 77550

CESWG-RD-C

5 October 2023

MEMORANDUM FOR RECORD

SUBJECT: US Army Corps of Engineers (Corps) Pre-2015 Regulatory Regime Approved Jurisdictional Determination in Light of *Sackett v. EPA*, 143 S. Ct. 1322 (2023),¹ SWG-2022-00674.

BACKGROUND. An Approved Jurisdictional Determination (AJD) is a Corps document stating the presence or absence of waters of the United States on a parcel or a written statement and map identifying the limits of waters of the United States on a parcel. AJDs are clearly designated appealable actions and will include a basis of JD with the document.² AJDs are case-specific and are typically made in response to a request. AJDs are valid for a period of five years unless new information warrants revision of the determination before the expiration date or a District Engineer has identified, after public notice and comment, that specific geographic areas with rapidly changing environmental conditions merit re-verification on a more frequent basis.³ For the purposes of this AJD, we have relied on section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899 (RHA),⁴ the Clean Water Act (CWA) implementing regulations published by the Department of the Army in 1986 and amended in 1993 (references 2.a. and 2.b. respectively), the 2008 Rapanos-Carabell guidance (reference 2.c.), and other applicable guidance, relevant case law and longstanding practice, (collectively the pre-2015 regulatory regime), and the Sackett decision (reference 2.d.) in evaluating iurisdiction.

This Memorandum for Record (MFR) constitutes the basis of jurisdiction for a Corps AJD as defined in 33 CFR §331.2. The features addressed in this AJD were evaluated consistent with the definition of "waters of the United States" found in the pre-2015 regulatory regime and consistent with the Supreme Court's decision in *Sackett*. This AJD did not rely on the 2023 "Revised Definition of 'Waters of the United States," as amended on 8 September 2023 (Amended 2023 Rule) because, as of the date of this decision, the Amended 2023 Rule is not applicable in this state due to litigation.

1. SUMMARY OF CONCLUSIONS.

¹ While the Supreme Court's decision in *Sackett* had no effect on some categories of waters covered under the CWA, and no effect on any waters covered under RHA, all categories are included in this Memorandum for Record for efficiency.

² 33 CFR 331.2.

³ Regulatory Guidance Letter 05-02.

⁴ USACE has authority under both Section 9 and Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899 but for convenience, in this MFR, jurisdiction under RHA will be referred to as Section 10.

- a. Provide a list of each individual feature within the review area and the jurisdictional status of each one (i.e., identify whether each feature is/is not a water of the United States and/or a navigable water of the United States).
 - i. Hayden Pond, Not a WOTUS or Navigable WOTUS

2. REFERENCES.

- a. Final Rule for Regulatory Programs of the Corps of Engineers, 51 FR 41206 (November 13, 1986).
- b. Clean Water Act Regulatory Programs, 58 FR 45008 (August 25, 1993).
- c. U.S. EPA & U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Clean Water Act Jurisdiction Following the U.S. Supreme Court's Decision in *Rapanos v. United States & Carabell v. United States* (December 2, 2008)
- d. Sackett v. EPA, 598 U.S. _, 143 S. Ct. 1322 (2023)
- e. 1980s preamble language (including regarding waters and features that are generally non-jurisdictional) (51 FR 41217 (November 13, 1986) and 53 FR 20765 (June 6, 1988))
- 3. REVIEW AREA. The proposed project area is a 5.8 acres tract located at 14513 Splenwood Drive, Splendora, Montgomery County, Texas (Map enclosed). The center coordinates of the site are 30.232306, -95.150469.
- 4. NEAREST TRADITIONAL NAVIGABLE WATER (TNW), INTERSTATE WATER, OR THE TERRITORIAL SEAS TO WHICH THE AQUATIC RESOURCE IS CONNECTED. N/A
- 5. FLOWPATH FROM THE SUBJECT AQUATIC RESOURCES TO A TNW, INTERSTATE WATER, OR THE TERRITORIAL SEAS. N/A
- 6. SECTION 10 JURISDICTIONAL WATERS⁵: Describe aquatic resources or other features within the review area determined to be jurisdictional in accordance with Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899. Include the size of each aquatic

⁵ 33 CFR 329.9(a) A waterbody which was navigable in its natural or improved state, or which was susceptible of reasonable improvement (as discussed in § 329.8(b) of this part) retains its character as "navigable in law" even though it is not presently used for commerce, or is presently incapable of such use because of changed conditions or the presence of obstructions.

CESWG-RD-C SUBJECT: Pre-2015 Regulatory Regime Approved Jurisdictional Determination in Light of *Sackett v. EPA*, 143 S. Ct. 1322 (2023), SWG-2022-00674

resource or other feature within the review area and how it was determined to be jurisdictional in accordance with Section 10.⁶ N/A

- 7. SECTION 404 JURISDICTIONAL WATERS: Describe the aquatic resources within the review area that were found to meet the definition of waters of the United States in accordance with the pre-2015 regulatory regime and consistent with the Supreme Court's decision in *Sackett*. List each aquatic resource separately, by name, consistent with the naming convention used in section 1, above. Include a rationale for each aquatic resource, supporting that the aquatic resource meets the relevant category of "waters of the United States" in the pre-2015 regulatory regime. The rationale should also include a written description of, or reference to a map in the administrative record that shows, the lateral limits of jurisdiction for each aquatic resource, including how that limit was determined, and incorporate relevant references used. Include the size of each aquatic resource in acres or linear feet and attach and reference related figures as needed.
 - a. TNWs (a)(1): N/A
 - b. Interstate Waters (a)(2): N/A
 - c. Other Waters (a)(3): N/A
 - d. Impoundments (a)(4): N/A
 - e. Tributaries (a)(5): N/A
 - f. The territorial seas (a)(6): N/A
 - g. Adjacent wetlands (a)(7): N/A

8. NON-JURISDICTIONAL AQUATIC RESOURCES AND FEATURES

a. Describe aquatic resources and other features within the review area identified as "generally non-jurisdictional" in the preamble to the 1986 regulations (referred to as "preamble waters").⁷ Include size of the aquatic resource or feature within the review area and describe how it was determined to be non-jurisdictional

⁶ This MFR is not to be used to make a report of findings to support a determination that the water is a navigable water of the United States. The district must follow the procedures outlined in 33 CFR part 329.14 to make a determination that water is a navigable water of the United States subject to Section 10 of the RHA.

⁷ 51 FR 41217, November 13, 1986.

CESWG-RD-C

SUBJECT: Pre-2015 Regulatory Regime Approved Jurisdictional Determination in Light of *Sackett v. EPA*, 143 S. Ct. 1322 (2023), SWG-2022-00674

under the CWA as a preamble water. Hayden Pond is an artificial man-made pond totaling 1.8 acres and was constructed wholly within uplands for the purpose of stock-watering. It is not a water of the United States as per the preamble.

- b. Describe aquatic resources and features within the review area identified as "generally not jurisdictional" in the *Rapanos* guidance. Include size of the aquatic resource or feature within the review area and describe how it was determined to be non-jurisdictional under the CWA based on the criteria listed in the guidance. N/A.
- c. Describe aquatic resources and features identified within the review area as waste treatment systems, including treatment ponds or lagoons designed to meet the requirements of CWA. Include the size of the waste treatment system within the review area and describe how it was determined to be a waste treatment system. N/A
- d. Describe aquatic resources and features within the review area determined to be prior converted cropland in accordance with the 1993 regulations (reference 2.b.). Include the size of the aquatic resource or feature within the review area and describe how it was determined to be prior converted cropland. N/A
- e. Describe aquatic resources (i.e. lakes and ponds) within the review area, which do not have a nexus to interstate or foreign commerce, and prior to the January 2001 Supreme Court decision in *"SWANCC*," would have been jurisdictional based solely on the *"Migratory Bird Rule."* Include the size of the aquatic resource or feature, and how it was determined to be an *"isolated water"* in accordance with *SWANCC*. N/A
- f. Describe aquatic resources and features within the review area that were determined to be non-jurisdictional because they do not meet one or more categories of waters of the United States under the pre-2015 regulatory regime consistent with the Supreme Court's decision in *Sackett* (e.g., tributaries that are non-relatively permanent waters; non-tidal wetlands that do not have a continuous surface connection to a jurisdictional water). N/A.
- 9. DATA SOURCES. List sources of data/information used in making determination. Include titles and dates of sources used and ensure that information referenced is available in the administrative record.
 - a. Desk Review; 1 May 2023

CESWG-RD-C

SUBJECT: Pre-2015 Regulatory Regime Approved Jurisdictional Determination in Light of *Sackett v. EPA*, 143 S. Ct. 1322 (2023), SWG-2022-00674

- b. Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant;20 October 2022
- c. U.S. Geological Survey map(s); Google Earth Layer Accessed 1 May 2023
- USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey; Accessed 1 May 2023
- e. National Wetlands Inventory map(s); Accessed 1 May 2023
- f. FEMA/FIRM maps: FEMA Floodplain map CITY OF SPLENDORA, Panel Number 48339C06006 effective 8/18/2014. 100-year Floodplain Elevation is 17.5ft (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929)
- 10. OTHER SUPPORTING INFORMATION. N/A
- 11.NOTE: The structure and format of this MFR were developed in coordination with the EPA and Department of the Army. The MFR's structure and format may be subject to future modification or may be rescinded as needed to implement additional guidance from the agencies; however, the approved jurisdictional determination described herein is a final agency action.

NOTIFICATION OF ADMINISTRATIVE APPEAL OPTIONS AND PROCESS AND REQUEST FOR APPEAL

Appli	ant: Houston Proformed Properties Inc	File Number: SWG-2022-00674	Date: 10/5/2023		
Applicant: Houston Preferred Properties, Inc.File Number: SWG-2022-00674Attached is:			See Section below		
Allac	INITIAL PROFFERED PERMIT (Standard Permit or Letter of permission)				
	PROFFERED PERMIT (Standard Permit or Le	A B			
	PERMIT DENIAL		C		
X	APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMIN	ATION	D		
Λ	PRELIMINARY JURISDICTIONAL DETERMIN		E		
SECTION I - The following identifies your rights and options regarding an administrative appeal of the above decision. Additional information may be found at http://www.usace.army.mil/Missions/CivilWorks/RegulatoryProgramandPermits/appeals.aspx or Corps regulations at 33 CFR Part 331.					
A: INITIAL PROFFERED PERMIT: You may accept or object to the permit.					
au sig	• ACCEPT: If you received a Standard Permit, you may sign the permit document and return it to the district engineer for final authorization. If you received a Letter of Permission (LOP), you may accept the LOP and your work is authorized. Your signature on the Standard Permit or acceptance of the LOP means that you accept the permit in its entirety, and waive all rights to appeal the permit, including its terms and conditions, and approved jurisdictional determinations associated with the permit.				
the Ye to me the	• OBJECT: If you object to the permit (Standard or LOP) because of certain terms and conditions therein, you may request that the permit be modified accordingly. You must complete Section II of this form and return the form to the district engineer. Your objections must be received by the district engineer within 60 days of the date of this notice, or you will forfeit your right to appeal the permit in the future. Upon receipt of your letter, the district engineer will evaluate your objections and may: (a) modify the permit to address all of your concerns, (b) modify the permit to address some of your objections, or (c) not modify the permit having determined that the permit should be issued as previously written. After evaluating your objections, the district engineer will send you a proffered permit for your reconsideration, as indicated in Section B below.				
B: PI	ROFFERED PERMIT: You may accept or appeal	the permit			
au sig	CCEPT: If you received a Standard Permit, you may sign th thorization. If you received a Letter of Permission (LOP), y gnature on the Standard Permit or acceptance of the LOP me appeal the permit, including its terms and conditions, and ap	you may accept the LOP and your work is at eans that you accept the permit in its entiret	uthorized. Your y, and waive all rights		
m fo	PPEAL: If you choose to decline the proffered permit (Standay appeal the declined permit under the Corps of Engineers rm and sending the form to the division engineer. This form te of this notice.	Administrative Appeal Process by complet	ing Section II of this		
C: PERMIT DENIAL: You may appeal the denial of a permit under the Corps of Engineers Administrative Appeal Process by completing Section II of this form and sending the form to the division engineer. This form must be received by the division engineer within 60 days of the date of this notice.					
D: APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION: You may accept or appeal the approved JD or					
provide new information.					
	CCEPT: You do not need to notify the Corps to accept an a this notice, means that you accept the approved JD in its en				
A	PPEAL: If you disagree with the approved JD, you may appeal Process by completing Section II of this form and sen the division engineer within 60 days of the date of this not	ding the form to the division engineer. This			
E: PRELIMINARY JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION: You do not need to respond to the Corps					

E: PRELIMINARY JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION: You do not need to respond to the Corps regarding the preliminary JD. The Preliminary JD is not appealable. If you wish, you may request an approved JD (which may be appealed), by contacting the Corps district for further instruction. Also you may provide new information for further consideration by the Corps to reevaluate the JD.

SECTION II - REQUEST FOR APPEAL or OBJECTIONS TO AN INITIAL PROFFERED PERMIT

REASONS FOR APPEAL OR OBJECTIONS: (Describe your reasons for appealing the decision or your objections to an initial proffered permit in clear concise statements. You may attach additional information to this form to clarify where your reasons or objections are addressed in the administrative record.)

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION: The appeal is limited to a review of the administrative record, the Corps memorandum for the record of the appeal conference or meeting, and any supplemental information that the review officer has determined is needed to clarify the administrative record. Neither the appellant nor the Corps may add new information or analyses to the record. However, you may provide additional information to clarify the location of information that is already in the administrative record.				
POINT OF CONTACT FOR QUESTIONS OR INFORMATION:				
If you have questions regarding this decision and/or the appeal process you may contact:	If you only have questions regarding the appeal process you may also contact:			
Kristin McKnight, Regulatory Specialist Regulatory Division, Compliance Branch (CESWG-RD-C) U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Galveston District 2000 Fort Point Road Galveston, Texas 77550 Telephone: 409-766-3826; Fax: 409-766-3826 Email: <u>Kristin.m.nelson@usace.army.mil</u>	Mr. Jamie Hyslop, Administrative Appeals Review Officer Southwestern Division (CESWD-PD-O) U.S. Army Corps of Engineers 1100 Commerce Street, Suite 831 Dallas, Texas 75242-1317 Phone: 469-216-8324 Email: jamie.r.hyslop@usace.army.mil			
RIGHT OF ENTRY: Your signature below grants the right of entry to Corps of Engineers personnel, and any government consultants, to conduct investigations of the project site during the course of the appeal process. You will be provided a 15-day notice of any site investigation, and will have the opportunity to participate in all site investigations.				
	Date:	Telephone number:		
Signature of appellant or agent.				